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## ADDENDUM NO. 1

The information included in Addendum No. 1 and attachments hereto shall be considered in preparation of the proposal for the above referenced project and shall be made part of the Contract Documents and Specifications of the above referenced project.

RECEIPT OF THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE ACKNOWLEDGED BY INITIALING THE "ACKNOWLEDGED RECEIPT" SPACE ON PAGE 2 OF THIS DOCUMENT. FAILURE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THIS ADDENDUM MAY RESULT IN NON-RESPONSIVE PROPOSAL.

PROPOSAL MODIFICATIONS, ITEMS OF CLARIFICATION, RESPONSES

## CONTRACT SPECIFICATION MODIFICATIONS

- CONTRACT DOCUMENTS
- Insurance requirements have been updated to reflect current limits of the Mobile Airport Authority (MAA). Updated requirements are attached.
- GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS
- Section I, General Specifications, II. Summary of Work, Supplemental General Conditions/Project Protocol on Page I-4
- Shall be amended as follows:

30. Textura-CPM ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ payment management system is being used for the Project and unless otherwise directed or authorized in writing by Contractor all Applications for Payment and all supporting documents (including but not limited to lien waivers, sworn statements, and the like) for Subcontractor and its sub-subcontractors and suppliers, shall be in electronic format and shall be submitted to Contractor using the TexturaCPM ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ payment manage system. Subcontractor shall be responsible for the fees and costs owed associated with Subcontractor's use of the TexturaCPM ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ payment management system. Subcontractor shall include a similar provision in its sub-subcontracts and purchase orders. Fees to

Subcontractors are calculated as $0.22 \%$ ( 22 basis points) of contract value (plus applicable taxes), with a maximum fee of $\$ 5,000$. Fees to Subcontractors' sub-subcontractors and suppliers are a fixed fee of \$100 per sub-subcontractor or supplier contract. Textura fees should be included in your bid.

- MISCELLANEOUS
- Milestone schedule is attached.
- Pre-Bid Meeting:
- A non-mandatory pre-bid meeting was held on Thursday, November 2, 2023, at 10:00 A.M. at Building 23 East, $18865^{\text {th }}$ Street, Section 23 East, Mobile, AL. The minutes and sign-in sheet from that meeting are attached.

Please acknowledge receipt: Addendum No. 1 $\qquad$
(Signature)

Addendum No. 1 Issued By


Nick Rose, P.E.
Project Manager

Enclosure:

- MAA Insurance Requirements
- Milestone Schedule
- Pre -Bid Meeting Agenda/Minutes
- Pre-Bid Sign-in Sheet
- Terminal Materials Report
- Parking Garage Materials Report (Draft)


## MOBILE AIRPORT AUTHORITY

## Insurance Requirements

Company MUST agree to insurance requirements as outlined below, as well a complete vendor agreement. Evidence of all required coverage to be furnished in the form of a Certificate of Insurance stating that policy shall not be canceled, changed, allowed to lapse or allowed to expire without 30 days written notice. The policies shall be endorsed to stipulate that the insurance afforded the additional insureds shall apply as primary insurance and that any other insurance or self-insurance maintained by Mobile Airport Authority shall be excess only. Company shall ensure that its subcontractors of any tier shall procure and maintain insurance that complies with the requirements set forth.

A copy of each endorsement shall be attached to the Certificate of Insurance. The Certificate shall indicate the Certificate Holder as:

```
Mobile Airport Authority
\(18919^{\text {th }}\) Street
Mobile, AL 36615
```

Where appropriate, copies of endorsements should be attached to the Certificate of Insurance (COI).

```
**Waiver of Subrogation must be indicated "YES"
**"Mobile Airport Authority" must be listed on the bottom left of the COI form
**Must specify MAA as insured
**The MAA must always keep a current policy on file
```

The following is a list of the minimum requirements for the Mobile Airport Authority. Please note, that each project is different and the minimum insurance requirements may change without notice.

|  | Commercial <br> General <br> Liability | General <br> Aggregate | Auto Liability | Umbrella | Worker's <br> Compensation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Airside $<\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 2,000,000$ | $\$ 500,000$ | $\$ 0$ | State Law |
| Non-Airside $\$ 100,001-\$ 500,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 2,000,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 0$ | State Law |
| Non-Airside $\$ 500,001-\$ 2,000,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 2,000,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 2,000,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
| Non-Airside $>\$ 2,000,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 2,000,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 5,000,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
| Service Vendor | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 2,000,000$ | Exposure Dependent | $\$ 0$ | State Law |
| Terminal/Non-Airside | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 2,000,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 5,000,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
| FAA Projects/Airside | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 2,000,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 9,000,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ |

Company shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless Mobile Airport Authority and its affiliates, and all of their employees, officers, directors, shareholders, etc. (collectively "Indemnitees") from and against any and all claims, demands, losses, damages, liabilities, expenses, obligations, judgments, recoveries and deficiencies, arising out of or resulting from the performance of the services provided.

The Mobile Airport Authority has a right to terminate the contract for non-compliance with insurance requirements.

## MAA Terminal/Parking Deck




JESCO, Inc.

## Construction

Date of Meeting: November 2, 2023
Meeting Location: $18865^{\text {th }}$ Street, Section 23 East, Mobile, AL 36615
Project Name: Mobile International Airport Terminal Bid Package \#2A - Temp Access Road Bid Package \#2B - Building Pads

Project Owner: Mobile Airport Authority
CMAR: JESCO, Inc.

Project Name: Mobile International Airport Bid
Meeting Date: 11.2.2023
Bid Package 2A \& 2B

Time of Meeting: 10:00 a.m.
Minutes Issued: YES

Dept. Project File No.:

## Attendees - See the attached sign in sheet

| Name | Organization | Contact \# | Email |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Regrets

| Name | Initials | Title | Organization | Contact \# | Email |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-Bid Meeting Minutes |  |  |  |  |  |



Construction

## Agenda

1.0 Introduction
2.0 Purpose of Meeting
3.0 Discussion Topics
4.0 DBE/MBE Requirements
5.0 Schedule
6.0 Bid Process

## Minutes

| $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | Introduction |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1.1 | Safety Short: |
| 1.2 | Introduction of personnel. |
| 1.3 | Obtain contact information for attendees and additional project staff. |
|  |  |

### 2.0 Purpose of Meeting

2.1 Pre-Bid Conference is held to provide bidders, vendors, suppliers and interested parties an overview of the proposed project and allow parties to ask questions concerning project specific requirements as it relates to the construction project. This meeting was not mandatory.

### 3.0 Discussion Topics

3.1 Availability of Electronic Documents - In order to be listed on plan holders list you must request plans Via Email:
bdwilliams@jescoinc.net
Only registered bidders will receive documents and updates via addenda.
All documents can be viewed on the Mobile Airport Authority website. www.mobileairportauthority.com/

## 3.2 <br> Project Description- included but not limited to:

Bid Package 2A - Temporary Access Road
a) Installation of temporary fencing and gates
b) Miscellaneous demolition
c) Install permanent fencing and remove temporary fencing
d) Provide grading and asphalt surfacing to connect existing apron to Michigan Ave
e) Install inlets and drainage piping
f) Temporary striping and signage
g) Erosion control, seeding
h) Traffic Control

## Bid Package 2B - Building Pads

a) Building pad installations and 6 " stone working surface
b) Demolition to include Aerostar Buildings - Feb 1, 2024, Michigan Ave and miscellaneous site demo.
c) Storm drainage
d) Utility removals and relocation
e) Sheet piling at Rabby Creek
f) Provide grading and asphalt surfacing to connect existing apron to Michigan Ave
g) Install inlets and drainage piping
h) Temporary striping and signage
i) Erosion control, seeding
j) Traffic Control

## Airport Security

Safety Plan \& Construction Sequence
General Project / Construction Requirements
Permitting - COM, FAA, ADEM
Please review the General Specifications in Division IV, Section 1, pages 2-9 for Supplemental Conditions, Project Protocol and Scope of Work.

This is a Prime Contract. All prospective bidders must meet the requirements of the bid documents to include Alabama general contractors license, bond ability, insurance requirements and any other qualifications listed in the documents to submit a bid.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline & \text { DBE / MBE Requirements } \\ \hline 4.1 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Established Goals }-14.63 \% \\ \text { Section } 150 \text { \& } 151\end{array} \\ \hline 5.0 & \text { Schedule } \\ \hline 5.1 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Project Schedule is as follows: } \\ \square \text { Plan Distribution: October 25, 2023 } \\ \square \text { Pre-Bid Conference: November 2, 2023, at 10:00 a.m. } \\ \square \\ \square \\ \square \text { Bid Date: November 9, 2023, at 2:00 p.m. }\end{array} \\ \square \\ \square \text { Bidder Evaluation \& Recommendation to MAA: November 14, 2023 Proceed: November 15, 2023 } \\ \square \text { Work Complete: December 8, 2023- BP2A } \\ \square \text { Work Complete: December 18, 2023- BP2B }\end{array}\right]$

### 6.0 Bid Process

6.1 Sealed Proposals will be received by the Mobile Airport Authority. Bids to be received and opened at 1891 Ninth Street at 2:00 p.m. local time on November 9, 2023. Bids will be opened publicly and read aloud. Afterwards, all responsive bids will then be evaluated privately based on the Bidder Selection Criteria and scoring system. Recommendation and award will be based on Best Value to the owner.
Bid Requirements:
$\square$ All items in Division I
$\square$ Proposal Form- submitted in compliance with Project Specifications - Section C
$\square$ Subcontractor Information - Section E
$\square$ DBE / MBE Program and Certificate of Compliance- Section F
$\square$ DBE Letters of Intent
$\square$ See Attached Bidders Checklist
$\square$ No clarifications to proposal
$\square$ Provide two copies of proposal documents
6.2 Questions/Requests for Information: To be transmitted in writing to Construction Managers using the following emails: bdwilliams@jescoinc.net and jbuckner@jescoinc.net.

Requests for Information will be answered and distributed via addendum. Information will be directly uploaded to the Pipeline and notifications will be sent to all registered plan holders.

Cut-off for questions is set at 72 hours prior to bid opening.
Jobsite visits are to be scheduled with the Construction Manager.

## Meeting Adjourned at:

Report any errors or omissions in these Pre-Bid Meeting Minutes within three (3) business days to the Construction Manager or by e-mail at bdwilliams@jescoinc.net , otherwise these Minutes are considered accurate and accepted.

## Attachment(s):

Distribution (to be completed by CMAR)

- Attendees
- Registered plan holders


## BIDDER CHECKLIST

Completed and Signed Bid Form- All blanks or spaces for information need to be filled out. One copy required- Acknowledgement of all addenda
$\square$ DBE Program and Certificate of Compliance
$\square$ DBE Letter of Intents
Certification of Nonsegregated Facilities
$\square$ Double check and Confirm all Allowances are included within Base Bid.
- Turn-In Time and Turn-In Date

Turn-In Location- Note: all bids should be submitted physically-hand delivered to the CM and Owner prior to TurnIn Time.

- NO CLARIFICATIONS
- Alternates Specified and Included where Required
- SEALED ENVELOPE- Envelope must note name of your company, name of project as listed in the specifications, and general contractor's license number.
- E-Verify

Unit Prices, where Applicable.
$\square$ List of Subcontractors

- Thorough review of specified "Instructions for Bidders"

Bid Packages 2A - Temporary Access Road \& 2B - Building Pads
@ Mobile International Airport
RFP No. 2023-017 \& RFP No. 2023-018
PRE-BID MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET
DATE/TIME: Thursday, 11/2/2023 @ 10:00 A.M. (Local)
LOCATION: Building 23 East @ Brookley Aeroplex
1886 5th Street, Section 23 East, Mobile, AL 36615




# SOUTHERN EARTH SCIENCES 

Geotechnical | Environmental | Materials Testing

# Mobile International Airport Proposed Terminal Building 

## Mobile Aeroplex at Brookley

# Report of Subsurface Investigation and Geotechnical Engineering Evaluation 

Prepared for:
VOLKERT, INC
Mobile, AL

## VOLKERT, INC

1110 Montlimar Drive
Suite 1050
Mobile, AL 36609
ATTENTION: Mr. "Hank" Harold Z. Eubanks, P.E.
Asst. Vice President

REFERENCE: Report of Subsurface Investigation and Geotechnical Engineering Evaluation
Mobile International Airport - Proposed Terminal Building
Mobile Aeroplex at Brookley
Mobile, AL
SESI Project No: M23-442

Dear Mr. Eubanks:
Southern Earth Sciences, Inc. (SESI) has completed the subsurface investigation and geotechnical engineering evaluation for the referenced project. This report presents our understanding of the available project information and outlines our soil related recommendations and comments regarding construction and foundation support for the proposed building structure.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service and look forward to our continued involvement throughout pile testing and construction phases of the project. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

## SOUTHERN EARTH SCIENCES, INC.

Matt Coaker, P.E.
Vice President
Registered, Alabama 30835

## MC/CN

Attachments


Curran Nicholas, E.I. Geotechnical Project Manager
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### 1.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

Based on our understanding of the provided information, the project will consist of a new airport terminal structure totaling approximately $105,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ in plan area. The project site is located on Michigan Avenue north of the existing Mobile Downtown Airport Terminal Building. Based on the preliminary structural loading information provided to us by Mr. Min Koo, P.E. with FSB Architects and Engineers, we understand maximum column loads are expected to be on the order of approximately 720 kips. No additional detailed project information was available at this time. SES should be consulted to review project plans and details as the design progresses.

### 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION, TOPOGRAPHY AND PROPOSED SITE GRADING

The majority of the proposed terminal building will be located in currently undeveloped, grassed areas. Michigan Avenue and various existing asphalt and concrete drives transect the proposed building area along the western boundary and near the center. Existing ground elevations estimated from the provided topographic data range from approximately elevation (EL) +19 within the southwest corner of the proposed terminal to $\mathrm{EL}+24$ within the northeast corner of the structure.

Based on our correspondence with Mr. Nick Rose with Volkert, Inc., we understand that the Finished Floor Elevation of the terminal structure is set at EL+26 feet, which is approximately 2 to 7 feet above current site elevation. All reference to elevation has been estimated based on the provided topographic survey data attached for reference in Appendix 5.

### 3.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION

Ten (10) Cone Penetrometer Test (CPT) soundings, one (1) SCPT sounding (CPT ${ }_{u}$ sounding with Shear Wave Velocity measurements), and one (1) Standard Penetration Test (SPT) boring were performed within the project area. CPT soundings and the soil boring were performed by SES field crews at the approximate locations shown on the Test Location Plan included in Appendix 1. Test locations were selected by SES engineering staff and were cleared in the field of underground utilities using Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) by E.F. Thompson Geotechnologies, Inc.

CPT soundings were advanced to refusal at depths ranging from approximately 57 to 140 feet below ground surface in general accordance with ASTM Specification D-5778 using a truck mounted 20-ton Hogentogler Electronic CPT rig. Soil classifications were interpreted from methods recommended by
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Robertson and Campanella. Correlations between Cone Resistance values and Standard Penetration Testing " N " values were performed according to the methods developed by Robertson, Campanella and Wightman. The soil types and stratigraphy shown on the CPT Log sheets are based upon material parameters measured and evaluated as the cone is advanced. CPT Log sheets graphically showing the cone tip resistance, friction, equivalent N 60 -value and interpreted soil behavior type at each sounding location are attached in Appendix 2.

The Seismic CPT sounding was advanced to approximately 100 feet below existing grade in general accordance with ASTM Specification D-5778 and D-7400 using the same truck mounted 20-ton Hogentogler Electronic CPT rig as used for the conventional CPT soundings. The sounding was conducted with a piezo cone that is equipped with a geophone sensor to measure the magnitude and arrival time of seismic shear and compression waves. Seismic shear waves are generated at the soil surface by striking the end of a steel plate that is pressed onto the ground using the leveling jack of the rig. An electronic trigger attached to the hammer records the exact time of the strike. As seismic waves are registered by the geophone sensors, data is transferred from the cone to the soil surface by wires that run though the push rods. The SCPT data acquisition system logs this data and analyzes it to determine the speed of the waves based on their arrival time and the distance between the wave generator and the sensors. Shear wave velocity measurements were taken at five (5) foot intervals to full depth of the sounding. Shear wave velocities with depth are attached in Appendix 2.

The soil boring with Standard Penetration Tests (SPTs) was advanced to a depth of approximately 102 feet below the existing ground surface using truck mounted drilling equipment. Soil sampling and penetration testing in the soil test borings were performed in general accordance with ASTM Specification D 1586 using solid stem auger until groundwater was encountered and mud rotary drilling techniques below the groundwater level for the remainder of the boring. At regular intervals during the process, the drill rods were removed, and soil samples were obtained with a standard 2 -inch split tube sampler. Soils were sampled at 2.5 ft intervals to 10 feet and then at 5 ft sample intervals to boring termination. Representative portions of soil samples obtained during the investigation were transported to our laboratory for classification testing. Samples were examined by an engineer and classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System. Soil descriptions, penetration resistances and laboratory testing results are shown on the appropriate Soil Boring Log sheets attached in Appendix 2.

SOUTHERN EARTH SCIENCES
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### 4.0 LABORATORY TESTING

Laboratory testing included physical examination and general classification testing of samples obtained from the soil test borings in SES laboratories. Testing included Moisture Content Determination (ASTM D2216), No. 200 Sieve Washes (ASTM D1140), Sieve Analysis (ASTM D6913), Atterberg Limits Tests (ASTM D4318), Consolidation Tests (ASTM D2435) and Unconsolidated Undrained (UU) Triaxial Tests (ASTM D2850). Test results are included on Soil Boring Logs attached in Appendix $\mathbf{2}$ and on Laboratory Test Data Summary Sheets attached in Appendix 3. Test reports for the consolidation and UU Triaxial tests are also included in Appendix 3.

### 4.1 Laboratory Chemical Analysis and Corrosion Potential

Selected soil samples obtained from within the upper 10 feet of the site were forwarded to Pace Analytical Services, LLC for analytical testing. Testing included pH (EPA 9045), Resistivity (EPA 9050), Sulfate (EPA9038) and Chloride (EPA 9251). In some instances, test results of samples collected within nearby buildings and during previous explorations within areas of similar subsurface conditions have been used to supplement our assessment of the potential for corrosion of buried steel and deterioration of concrete foundation elements. Test results are summarized in the following table and are attached in Appendix $\mathbf{3}$ for reference. Our conclusions, based on these test results and our experience with similar soils present across the Mobile Aeroplex at Brookley, are discussed in the following sections.
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TABLE 1
CORROSION SERIES LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

| Soil Test |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Sample <br> Depth <br> (ft) | $\mathbf{p H}$ | Resistivity <br> (Kohm-cm) | Sulfate <br> (mg/kg) | Chloride <br> (mg/kg) |
| PG-7, S-2 | $2.5-4.0$ | 5.1 | 53.2 | 78.8 | $<33.3$ |
| PG-7, S-4 | $5.0-6.5$ | 5.4 | 82.4 | $<33.3$ | $<33.3$ |
| PG-7, S-5 | $10-11.5$ | 5.2 | 95.5 | 321 | $<33.3$ |
| T-7, S-2 | $2.5-4.0$ | 5.0 | 44.4 | $<33.3$ | $<33.3$ |
| T-7, S-3 | $5.0-6.5$ | 5.7 | 79.5 | 69.5 | $<33.3$ |
| T-7, S-4 | $7.5-9.0$ | 5.3 | 51.8 | 105 | $<33.3$ |
| T-7, S-5 | $10-11.5$ | 4.0 | 1.23 | 1000 | $<33.3$ |

### 4.1.1 Soil Resistivity

Laboratory results indicate measured resistivity values ranging from 1.23 to $95.5 \mathrm{kohm}-\mathrm{cm}$. This range of resistivity values is considered highly corrosive to essentially non-corrosive to buried steel infrastructure. The table below summarizes the relative corrosivity rating as a function of soil resistivity. Variation in soil resistivity at this site is anticipated as upper soils vary considerably in density, moisture content, gradation, and organic content.
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TABLE 2

## CORROSION SEVERITY RATING BASED ON RESISTIVITY

(From Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 3-570-01 and Corrosion Basics: An Introduction 2nd Edition, by Pierre R. Roberge, 2006 by NACE Press Book)

| Soil <br> Resistivity <br> Range <br> (Kohm-cm) | Relative <br> Corrosivity <br> Rating |
| :---: | :---: |
| $<1$ | Extremely Corrosive |
| 1 to 3 | Highly Corrosive |
| 3 to 5 | Corrosive |
| 5 to 10 | Moderately Corrosive |
| 10 to 20 | Mildly Corrosive |
| 20 to 30 | Essentially Non-Corrosive |
| $>30$ |  |

### 4.1.2 Soil pH

Acidic attack of concrete is generally not a concern unless it is exposed to a relatively continuous flow of groundwater and a pH of less than 5.5. pH of tested samples ranged from 4.0 to 5.7. Although pH values are relatively low at some locations and depths, foundation infrastructure is anticipated to be constructed well above the groundwater level. Our opinion is that the effect of pH on concrete foundations at this site is not a concern.

### 4.1.3 Chlorides

Chloride test results in accordance with EPA 9251 indicate that concentrations on tested samples are generally less than $33.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. According to guidelines established by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), soil chloride concentrations less than $500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ are not considered severe. Chloride concentrations are not considered severe at this site.
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### 4.1.4 Sulfates

Soluble sulfate testing of soils in accordance with test method EPA 9038 indicates that sulfate concentrations of tested samples range from below the reporting limit of $33.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ to approximately $1000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Sulfate exposure is considered to be moderate to negligible by ACI standards with respect to effects on buried concrete foundations. The use of Type I/II cement will be suitable for use in buried foundation elements at this site. The following table presents a summary of guidelines for cement type selection as recommended in Table 4.3.1 of the American Concrete Institute (ACI) Code.

TABLE 3

## CEMENT TYPE FOR CONCRETE EXPOSED TO SULFATES

(Table 4.3.1 of the American Concrete Institute (ACI) Code)

| Sulfate as $\mathbf{S O}_{4}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg})$ | Relative Degree of Sulfate <br> Attack | Cement Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-1,000$ | Negligible | I |
| 1,000 to 2,000 | Moderate | II |
| 2,000 to 20,000 | Severe | V |
| 20,000 or more | Extreme | V plus pozzalan |

### 5.0 GENERALIZED SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The subsurface descriptions below are generalized to highlight the major subsurface stratigraphy encountered across the site. The Soil Boring Logs and CPT Sounding Logs attached in Appendix 2 and Soil Profiles attached in Appendix 1 present specific information at individual boring location including soil description, stratification, approximate elevation, ground water level, soil strength and laboratory tests results. This information is representative of conditions encountered at boring locations. Variations may occur and should be expected between boring locations. The stratification represents the approximate boundary between subsurface materials as the actual transition may be gradual. Approximate ground elevations at test locations were estimated using the topographic data provided to us in Appendix 5.
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Soils within approximately the upper 5 to 8 ft of the site generally consist of medium dense to dense silty and clayey sands and medium stiff clays underlain by very soft to soft silts and clays to approximately 20 feet. A thin, loose silty sand substrata was encountered at depths ranging between approximately 20 ft and 22 ft . Below this level, soft to medium stiff silts and clays were encountered to depths of approximately 55 to 60 feet, underlain by medium dense to very dense sands and silty sands to termination of most CPT Soundings at depths ranging from approximately 95 to 100 feet. Stiff sandy silts and medium dense silty sands were encountered to approximately 138 feet at test location T-1 before termination of the investigation due to refusal in the very dense sands approximately 140 feet below existing ground surface. Detailed descriptions of soils encountered at each test location are shown on the appropriate CPT Sounding logs included in Appendix 2.

### 6.0 GROUNDWATER

Direct groundwater measurements were not possible at CPT locations at the time of our investigation due to most of the CPT sounding holes collapsing upon rod removal. Caved depths ranged from approximately 1 to 11 feet below the existing ground surface, likely indicating proximity to perched water levels or saturated soil conditions near or above the collapsed depths. Depth to sounding collapse at each test location at the time of our investigation are shown on the appropriate CPT sounding sheet attached in Appendix 2. Soil boring T-7 encountered water at a depth of approximately 5 feet below existing ground surface at the time of our investigation, likely indicating proximity to perched water level. The groundwater level encountered at T-7 at the time of our investigation is shown on the appropriate Soil Boring Logs attached in Appendix 2.

Estimation of static groundwater levels using measured porewater pressure from CPT data indicates that a hydrostatic water level exists at depths of approximately 20 to 23 feet below ground surface or near EL+O. While the true static groundwater table is deep, our experience at this site indicates that shallow groundwater (perched water) levels will fluctuate with weather conditions at the time of construction. The low permeability silty and clayey soils present within the upper reaches of this site will create shallow perched water conditions within imported granular fill soils after periods of rainfall.

Groundwater depths or elevations should be verified at the time of construction for cases where groundwater variations are potentially significant for construction. Fluctuation in the groundwater table will occur due to variances in rainfall, elevation, drainage, types of soil encountered and other factors not
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evident at the time measurements were made. Reference to depth has been made with respect to the existing ground surface encountered at the time of our field investigation.

### 7.0 SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS AND GEOLOGIC HAZARDS

Down-hole shear wave velocities measured within the upper 100 feet of site at test locations performed within the proposed terminal building area indicate a weighted average shear wave velocity of approximately 643 feet per second. Shear wave velocity measurements plotted vs. depth are attached in Appendix 2. Per ASCE-7-2016 and the International Building Code (IBC) 2018 Edition, our opinion is that this site would best be categorized as Site Class $\mathbf{D}$. The site is not within a special seismic hazard or earthquake fault zone. Based on subsurface information collected at the site and our experience in this geologic area, supplemental geologic hazard evaluations are not recommended for this site. Potentially liquefiable soils were not encountered. Liquefaction induced settlement and/or lateral spread is not a concern at this site.

### 8.0 GEOTECHNICAL OVERVIEW

Our evaluation of subsurface conditions and foundation alternatives for this project has been based on the project information previously described in this report and subsurface data obtained during the investigation. In evaluating the CPT sounding and soil boring data, we have used empirical correlations previously established between standard penetration resistances, cone tip and side resistance values, soil index properties and foundation stability. Soil parameters used in the evaluation were derived from the CPT sounding data using the interpretation software RAPID CPT ${ }^{\circ}$ by Dataforensics.

### 8.1 Building Foundations

Soils encountered between approximately 8 and 40 feet consist of highly compressible, loose clayey sands and very soft to soft clays. Considering the anticipated magnitude of structural loads for this project and the presence of these highly compressible soils, shallow foundations are not considered a viable option for this structure. Pile foundations will be required for support of building foundations. Pile foundations will provide positive foundation support by transferring structural loads into the medium dense sand bearing strata encountered beginning at depths ranging from approximately 55 to 60 feet beneath the existing ground surface.
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Augercast piles and Drilled Displacement Piles would be acceptable pile types for this project from a geotechnical capacity standpoint. Driven piles would be an acceptable alternative from a geotechnical perspective but have not been addressed in this report due to expected hard driving that would be encountered above design tip elevation and resulting vibrations and noise during pile installation that could be problematic to the nearby facilities and operations. Pile design recommendations are provided in the following sections of this report.

### 8.2 Ground Level Floor Slabs

The soft clay soils present at this site between depths of approximately 8 and 40 feet are not capable of providing uniform support for a soil supported floor slab concept at the proposed Finished Floor Elevation. When subjected to the weight of up to approximately 7 feet of anticipated fill, these soft and loose materials will be susceptible to settlements estimated to range from less than approximately 1 inch in areas of minimal fill up to approximately 6 inches in areas of maximum fill. A portion of the anticipated settlement at this site would consist of a relatively short-term strain-type settlement that would occur during and shortly after fill placement, but most of the settlement would consist of long-term consolidation settlement that would occur over a period of several years after fill placement and completion of construction. Secondary compression would theoretically continue indefinitely throughout the design life of the facility. Fill induced settlement of soil supported floor slabs and hardscape would be differential with respect to pile supported foundation elements and will vary across the proposed terminal building area with fill height above existing grade. Based on our experience with similar soil conditions and many existing structures across Mobile Aeroplex at Brookley, we have assumed that pile foundations will likely be the preferred approach to minimize the potential for ground level floor slab settlement on this project.

As an alternative to pile supporting the ground level floor slabs, surcharging the building area with earthen fill above final design grade and prefabricated vertical wick drains for a period of time prior building construction could be considered to help reduce post construction settlement. A surcharge program at this site could be designed to reduce primary consolidation settlement to less than approximately 1 -inch, but surcharging would not eliminate post construction differential settlement of grade supported floor slabs with respect to pile supported foundation elements over the life of the facility as some consolidation settlement potential will remain after surcharging, and secondary compression settlement in these soft soils can continue indefinitely.
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#### Abstract

A surcharge program for this project site would generally consist of installing prefabricated vertical wick drains to a depth of approximately 60 feet below existing grade on an approximate 4 to 5 ft center to center triangular spacing followed by preloading areas within and extending a lateral distance of approximately $25-50$ feet outside the building perimeter with 6 to 10 feet of earthen surcharge material above FFE for a period of 90 to 120 days. For reporting purposes, we have anticipated that surcharging will not be considered a viable option for this project due to construction scheduling constraints. Should construction scheduling allow for a surcharge program, SES should be consulted to provide detailed recommendations for surcharge height, duration, and a settlement monitoring plan.


### 9.0 FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS

Building foundations and the ground level floor slab system should be structurally supported by deep foundations. Ideally, the building and first level floor system could be constructed as an elevated structure to minimize fill heights above existing grade. This approach would result in a more efficient pile design since down-drag reduction would not be necessary and would also reduce the potential for differential settlement of grade supported hardscape and utilities with respect to pile supported foundation elements. If fill placement beneath and surrounding the structure cannot be limited, and up to approximately 7 feet of fill will be placed above original grade to achieve FFE EL +26, a reduction in allowable compressive pile capacity will be required to account for down-drag forces and special provisions will be necessary to manage differential settlement between pile supported foundations and grade supported hardscape, pavements, utilities, etc.

The following tables present our recommended pile penetration depths and corresponding allowable compression and tension capacities from static analysis. Table 1 presents pile capacities that could be considered in areas where fill placement above existing grade can be limited to approximately 18 inches above existing grade. Table 2 presents pile capacities that should be used if fill heights will exceed approximately 18 inches above existing grade. Piles at this site must be adequately embedded into the dense sand strata encountered generally beginning at depths ranging from approximately 55 to 60 feet below the existing ground surface. Compression capacity of piles that are not adequately embedded into the dense sand bearing strata will be considerably less than those presented in the following tables.
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### 9.1 Estimated Pile Capacities

Recommended pile penetration depth and corresponding allowable compression and tension capacities for Augercast Piles and Drilled Displacement Piles are presented in the following tables. Piles are expected to develop their capacity as a result of side resistance in the various sand and clay strata above approximately 55 feet and from a combination of side resistance and end bearing in the dense sands encountered below this level. Estimated pile capacities are based on a Factor of Safety of 2.0 (FOS). The Pile lengths, sizes and capacities presented are based on soil-pile interaction and do not consider structural aspects of the pile. Pile penetration depths are referenced to the existing ground surface.

TABLE 1
ALLOWABLE PILE CAPACITIES - AUGER-CAST PILING
NO DOWNDRAG REDUCTION
(FACTOR OF SAFETY = 2.0)

| Expected Pile <br> Penetration <br> Below Existing <br> Grade* | Pile Diam/Size and Type <br> (inches) | Allowable Compression <br> Capacity <br> (tons) | Allowable <br> Tension Capacity <br> (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 feet | 16" Augercast | 65 | 25 |
|  | $18^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 75 | 30 |
|  | $20^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 85 | 35 |
| 80 feet | $16^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 75 | 30 |
|  | $18^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 90 | 35 |
|  | $20^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 100 | 40 |

*Referenced to existing ground surface at the time of field investigation
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TABLE 2

## ALLOWABLE PILE CAPACITIES - DRILLED DISPLACEMENT PILING

## NO DOWNDRAG REDUCTION

(FACTOR OF SAFETY = 2.0)

| Expected Pile <br> Penetration <br> Below Existing <br> Grade* | Pile Diam/Size and Type <br> (inches) | Allowable Compression <br> Capacity <br> (tons) | Allowable <br> Tension Capacity <br> (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 to 70 feet | 14" Drilled Displacement | 85 | 40 |
|  | $16^{\prime \prime}$ Drilled Displacement | 100 | 45 |

*Referenced to existing ground surface at the time of field investigation
Using known FFE of the proposed structure and topographic data provided to us, we estimate that FFE will be as much as approximately 7 feet above existing site grade; therefore, an approximate 15 to 23 percent reduction in axial compressive pile capacity has been incorporated into the estimated capacities to account for negative side friction forces (down-drag) that will be induced on the piles as deep compressible soils consolidate over time.
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TABLE 3
ALLOWABLE PILE CAPACITIES - AUGER-CAST PILING
REDUCED FOR DOWNDRAG
(FACTOR OF SAFETY = 2.0)

| Expected Pile <br> Penetration <br> Below Existing <br> Grade* | Pile Diam/Size and Type <br> (inches) | Allowable Compression <br> Capacity** <br> (tons) | Allowable <br> Tension Capacity <br> (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 feet | $16^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 50 | 25 |
|  | $18^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 60 | 30 |
|  | $20^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 70 | 35 |
| 80 feet | $16^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 60 | 30 |
|  | $18^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 75 | 35 |
|  | $20^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 85 | 40 |

*Referenced to existing ground surface at the time of field investigation
**Capacities reduced to account for down drag

TABLE 4

## ALLOWABLE PILE CAPACITIES - DRILLED DISPLACEMENT PILING

REDUCED FOR DOWNDRAG
(FACTOR OF SAFETY = 2.0)

| Expected Pile <br> Penetration <br> Below Existing <br> Grade* | Pile Diam/Size and Type <br> (inches) | Allowable Compression <br> Capacity** <br> (tons) | Allowable <br> Tension Capacity <br> (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 to 70 feet | $14^{\prime \prime}$ Drilled Displacement | 65 | 40 |
|  | $16^{\prime \prime}$ Drilled Displacement | 80 | 45 |

*Referenced to existing ground surface at the time of field investigation
**Capacities reduced to account for down drag
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SES should be consulted as the Geotechnical Engineer of Record to assist the design team with further evaluation of pile type, design capacity and corresponding pile length based on loading requirements and optimum pile cap configurations. SES should also be consulted to review the Pile Load Test Plan, Pile Load Test Results, and Production Pile Installation Criteria.

### 9.2 Auger-Cast and Augered Displacement Pile Installation Considerations

The dense sand bearing strata vary in strength and depth across the site; therefore, considerations should be taken to account for difficult drilling that may occur at varying elevations. Drilled displacement piles may experience hard drilling in intermittent dense sand strata that may be encountered at some locations above the intended bearing strata beginning at approximately 55 feet below ground surface. Pile penetration/refusal depth may vary by several feet across this building area. Contingency should be set up in the contract documents to account for pile length variation and installation method modification that may be required by the contractor to advance piles to the recommended tip elevation/pile penetration or as needed to develop the intended design capacity.

The equipment, experience, and installation technique on the part of the contractor are crucial to successful pile performance of augercast piles and drilled displacement piles. Careful monitoring and recording of the pile installation should be performed by an experienced technician to help identify possible installation problems.

Closely spaced piles will become increasingly more difficult to install to the desired tip elevation if a proper installation pattern is not established. It may be necessary to start installation towards the center of the pile cap and work outwards. Piles should not be installed within 3 pile diameters of newly placed piling until the grout has cured for at least 24 hours or within 6 pile diameters until the grout has cured for at least 12 hours.

### 9.3 Pile Response to Lateral Loading

Pile response to assumed shear forces applied to the pile top were evaluated using LPILE ${ }^{\oplus}$ version 22 software. LPILE software employs $p-y$ analysis to determine deflections at the pile top under specific loading conditions. Parameters used in the analysis have been correlated from empirical data using standard penetration resistance " N " values (correlated with accepted geotechnical references), measured CPT tip and side resistances and our knowledge of and experience with similar soil conditions.
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Based on our correspondence with the project design team, we have evaluated a 14-inch diameter Drilled Displacement pile under various loading scenarios. Shear forces applied to the pile top were varied based on pile response to produce deflections ranging from approximately 0.25 to 0.75 inch. The P-Y curves were factored for group effects for piles in groups using a p-multiplier of 0.8 for the front row piles and a multiplier of 0.4 for the second-row piles.

Piles were modeled using fixed head conditions with lateral loads applied at the pile top at an average depth of 4 feet below existing grade. ULTIMATE Lateral Deflection, Moment and Shear vs. Depth plots are attached in Appendix 4. Piles were modeled with no axial load or bending moment applied to the top of the pile. It should be considered that axial uplift loads generally reduce the lateral capacity from that indicated by this analysis, while axial compressive loads increase the lateral capacity.

An appropriate Factor of Safety should be applied by the designer depending on the sensitivity of the design to deflection or moment capacity. Evaluation of the structural capacity of the piles to withstand shear forces and bending moments generated by lateral loading is beyond the scope of this investigation and should be determined by the structural design engineer of record.

Assumed pile reinforcement configurations, concrete strength, and lateral loads resulting in approximately $0.25,0.50$ and 0.75 -inch deflection for piles in first row and second row configurations are provided in the following table. Deflection, moment, and shear curves along the length of the pile corresponding to the load scenarios listed below are attached in Appendix 4.

TABLE 5
DRILLED DISPLACEMENT CONCRETE PILE LATERAL LOAD CASE SUMMARY

| Pile Type and Size | Assumed Reinforcement Configuration | L-Pile ${ }^{\oplus}$ Loading Case Designation | Applied Shear Force |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Row 1 | Row 2 |
| 14-inch Drilled | 20 ft cage with 6 - \#6 rebar | Loading Case 1 | 12.2 kips | 7.9 kips |
| Displacement Concrete |  | Loading Case 2 | 17.2 kips | 11.1 kips |
| Pile (5,000 psi grout) |  | Loading Case 3 | 20.6 kips | 13.7 kips |

## VOLKERT, INC

Report of Subsurface Investigation and Geotechnical Engineering Evaluation
Mobile International Airport - Proposed Terminal Building
Mobile Aeroplex at Brookley
Mobile, AL
SESI Project No: M23-442
October 20, 2023

### 9.4 Individual Pile Settlement and Group Efficiency

We recommend installing piles at a minimum center to center spacing of 3 pile diameters. A reduction in capacity due to group effects for properly spaced piles at the recommended pile penetration depths will not be required.

Detailed structural loading information and pile cap configurations were not available at this time. Estimated settlement of individual piles properly installed to the recommended depth are expected to be less than 0.5 inch at service load. Piles installed in groups (up to 8 to 10 piles per pile group) at the recommended minimum center-to-center spacing of 3 pile diameters at the recommended pile penetration depths are not expected to undergo additional settlement at service load due to group effects. SES should be consulted to review plans and design details and to evaluate larger pile groups once pile type, pile loading, and pile cap configurations have been established.

### 9.5 Pile Settlement and Drag Force Considerations

Estimated settlement of individual piles properly installed to the design depth are expected to be less than 0.5 inch at service load. These capacities and lengths consider down-drag, drag forces and downward pile movement resulting from residual primary consolidation and secondary compression settlement that will occur at this site where more than approximately 18 inches of fill is placed above existing grade.

Considering that piles for this project will be bearing in a reasonably thick deposit of medium dense to dense sands, we estimate that pile groups (assumed maximum of 8 to 10 piles per cap) installed at the recommended minimum center-to-center spacing of 3 pile diameters or greater are not expected to undergo additional settlement at service load due to group effects.

Our evaluation of the effects of fill induced settlement on pile foundations and resulting drag forces at this site have been based on methods outlined in "Neutral Plane Method for Drag Force of Deep Foundations" (Siegel, et.al, 2014) and in the Federal Highway Administration Publication No. FHWA-NHI-16-009. These references explain that the direction in which side resistance acts on a deep foundation depends on the relative movement between the deep foundation and the adjacent soil. When the pile moves downward relative to the soil, then the side resistance is positive and acts upward (pile resistance). Conversely, when the soil moves downward relative to the deep foundation, the side resistance is negative and acts downward (down-drag). The side resistance
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distribution and direction of relative pile movement with respect to surrounding soil is a function of the soil strength and stiffness, the applied pile top load, and whether the top load is sustained, transient, or a combination of sustained and transient loads. (Siegal).

The accumulation of negative shaft resistance with depth produces a drag force on the pile. The maximum drag force and the maximum axial compression stress in the pile occur at the depth along the pile equal to the depth of the "Neutral Plane". The depth of the Neutral Plane is defined as the depth along a pile where the sum of the permanent structural load (sustained dead and live load) plus the negative shaft resistance on the pile (down-drag) is equal to the positive shaft resistance plus the mobilized toe resistance.

Below the level of the neutral plane at the Geotechnical Service Limit State, there is no movement of the soil relative to the pile and any ground settlement below the neutral plane is equal to the vertical movement of the pile. At the Geotechnical Strength Limit State, the entire pile is moving downward relative to the soil and therefore negative skin friction is not present. This is premise of the Neutral Plane Method evaluating down-drag as a Geotechnical Service Limit State or settlement consideration rather than considering the drag force as an additional load that must be supported by the pile in the Geotechnical Strength Limit State evaluation.

### 9.6 Post Construction Hardscape Settlement Potential

A critical issue to consider for this project site will be differential settlements between pile supported and non-pile supported, grade supported hardscape, pavements, and utility elements where fill heights exceed approximately 18 inches above existing grade. Pavements and hardscape constructed over areas of fill in excess of approximately 18 inches above the existing site elevation have the potential to settle differentially with respect to pile supported building foundations and floor slabs. Where differential settlement between the building foundations and adjacent pavements or slabs is a concern, consideration should be given to pile supporting critical slabs, aprons, sidewalks, and landings immediately adjacent to pile supported buildings. Hinging concrete slabs, aprons, pavement, sidewalks, and other hardscape at the pile-supported to grade-supported transition of critical entrance/exits would help limit the formation of trip hazards/drops caused by differential settlement between pile supported and grade supported elements around the buildings. All utility lines in the building area should be hung from the slab using hangers and connections that meet
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applicable Building Codes. Connections should be flexible and capable of withstanding fill-induced differential settlement.

Installation of utilities, adjacent pavements and hardscape of the facility should generally be delayed after fill placement for as long as practical in the construction schedule to allow for as much settlement as possible to occur prior to their construction. We are of the opinion that up to approximately 30 to 40 percent of the anticipated 5 to 6 inches of fill induced settlement will occur within approximately 6 months after fill placement, with the remaining settlement occurring over a period of several years. A maintenance schedule should include a contingency for leveling critical areas of pavement and hardscape that settle differentially with respect to the pile supported building and floor slabs.

### 9.7 Pile Load Test Program

We suggest installing one (1) test pile within the proposed building area for Static Load Testing for each pile size/loading configuration. The static compressive load test should be conducted as described in ASTM Specification D1143 to at least 3 times the design load or to failure.

If design tension loads exceed 60 percent of the recommended allowable tension capacity, plans should be made to install an additional tension test pile for Static Tension Load testing at each planned compression test pile location. Tension testing of a tested compression pile is not recommended. Static tension load testing should be conducted as described in ASTM Specification D3689 to at least 2 times the design load. Piling reinforcement for the tension test pile should be cast to allow for connection to a full-length center bar during testing. Since the purpose of the tension load test is to assess the geotechnical capacity of the soil-pile interaction (not the structural capacity of the pile), the tension test pile reinforcement should be over-designed to minimize elongation of the pile during the test. Elongation of the test pile and center bar during tension testing often causes structural failure of the pile grout near the bottom of the reinforcement cage, resulting in excessive deflection during the test that is not representative of the geotechnical performance of the pile in tension. The test pile reinforcement, connection systems and reaction frame should be designed for the loadings specific to this project by a licensed professional structural engineer.

Alternately, in lieu of a separate static tension load test, tension capacity could be assessed by instrumenting the compression test pile with vibrating wire strain gauges that would be used to
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measure and record the capacity distribution along the length of the pile. The strain gauge data would be supplemented by monitoring deflection of at least two reaction piles during the compression load test. SES will be available to discuss with the design team as the design progresses.

If pile response to lateral loading is a controlling aspect of the foundation design and lateral load testing is determined to be necessary by the project Structural Engineer, static lateral load testing may be performed on either the compression or the tension pile to at least twice the design load in accordance with ASTM D3966.

The test pile(s) should be located within the building/structure footprint to obtain representative data, but should be positioned within the structure such that it is not incorporated into the foundation system and does not interfere with construction of foundations, utilities, infrastructure, etc. Upon completion of the test pile program, the test piles should be cut off at a level such that it will not affect future construction.

All test sections, equipment and installation procedures should be the same as those to be used during production pile installation. Pile load test results would be used to verify the placement procedures and that the pile section produces the desired design capacity. Since adjustments of the pile lengths or installation procedures may be made based on the test pile installation and load test results, we recommend the test pile program and production pile installation be performed under the direct supervision of the SES project geotechnical engineer of record. SES should be consulted to collaborate with the design team to establish detailed Pile Load Test Program recommendations once site, civil, and structural plans have been developed.

### 9.8 Thermal Integrity Profiling (TIP) for Auger-Cast Piling

We recommend that installation of all Auger-Cast test piles (and $\mathbf{2 \%}$ of all production auger cast piling on this project) be monitored using Thermal Integrity Profiling (TIP) technology in general accordance with ASTM D7949 - Standard Test Methods for Thermal Integrity Profiling of Concrete Deep Foundations. The TIP system, manufactured by Pile Dynamics, Inc. (PDI) in association with Foundation and Geotechnical Engineering, LLC (FGE), uses instrumented Thermal Wire cables and Thermal Acquisition Ports (TAPs) to measure concrete temperatures during curing. The Thermal Wire ${ }^{\circ}$ cables have temperature sensors spaced every 12 -inches along the ordered cable length and are cast into the concrete along the pile/shaft length. The battery powered Thermal Acquisition Ports
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automatically measure temperature at each sensor at specified time intervals (typically every 15 minutes) allowing the concrete curing process to be monitored. During the curing process, heat generated during cement hydration is recorded and used to create a profile of temperature versus depth.

Analysis of the temperature measurements can then be used to evaluate concrete quality and cover at each cross section along the pile/shaft length. After the peak temperature is achieved (approximately 10 hours after placement of the concrete), the TAP box(es) are disconnected from the Thermal Wires ${ }^{\circ}$ and connected to the TIP Processing Unit. Data is downloaded and saved to the unit's hard drive for further review, data adjustment, analysis and output. Graphical results of the collected thermal data are presented as an estimate of the vertical pile profile relative to the theoretical pile diameter. The profile will indicate changes in pile diameter or material quality within the grout column.

### 10.0 LATERAL EARTH PRESSURES

Presented in the following table are recommended design values of Equivalent Fluid Pressure and soilfoundation Friction Coefficients for calculation of resistance to lateral loadings. These values have been generalized to be representative of improved subgrade conditions and imported Select Structural Fill. Imported Select Structural Fill should consist of a sandy material with less than about 30 percent of the soil particles (by weight) passing the No. 200 mesh sieve, less than 80 percent passing the No. 40 sieve, and a Liquid Limit less than 25 . Fill material should be compacted in 12-inch (maximum) lifts to at least 95 percent of the soil's Modified Proctor maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D 1557. In place density tests should be made at frequent intervals to measure the effectiveness of the compaction operations.

Empirical correlation and data obtained from the soil borings and CPT soundings have been used to estimate active, passive, at-rest earth pressure coefficients and equivalent fluid densities presented in the following table for select structural fill. These parameters have been developed using correlation of laboratory test results with accepted geotechnical references and our general knowledge of and experience with similar soil conditions.

This information may be used for lateral resistance calculations for small shallow retaining structures and foundation walls. Foundation elements extending more than approximately 6 feet above original site
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elevation should be brought to our attention and evaluated on a case-by-case structure specific basis. The designers should exercise sound engineering judgment when using these parameters for design and should apply an appropriate Factor of Safety.

Soil Unit Weight values and Equivalent Fluid Density values have been presented in terms of Total Soil Unit Weight. The Total Soil Unit Weight Scenario is applicable to foundation elements anticipated to be constructed several feet above groundwater levels where in-situ and fill soils are expected to be near their natural moist unit weight. These parameters do not include hydrostatic pressures. Positive grading and adequate drainage are assumed to be installed to prevent buildup of hydrostatic pressure that could act differentially on shallow retaining structures, sumps, etc. If failsafe positive drainage provisions are not provided behind retaining walls/subsurface walls, then hydrostatic pressure should be included in the design loadings in addition to the lateral earth pressures.

At-rest earth pressures should be used for foundation walls that will be restrained from deflecting by adjacent floor slabs or structures. Active and Passive pressures should be used in situations where shallow walls will not be restrained and will be allowed to deflect.

Fine grained soils (clays and silts) are not recommended for use as backfill behind retaining walls within a distance of $2 x$ the height of the wall. Where clayey or silty soils are present behind retaining walls or below grade walls, these soils should be over excavated and replaced to a lateral distance of at least $2 x$ the wall height.
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## TABLE 6

GENERALIZED EARTH PRESSURE COEFFICIENTS AND EQUIVALENT FLUID PRESSURES
Total Moist Soil Unit Weight Scenario (Above Groundwater level)

| Soil | Earth <br> Pressure <br> Condition | Total Moist Unit Weight (pcf) | Equivalent <br> Fluid <br> Density <br> (pcf) | Internal Angle of Friction $\phi$ (deg) | Cohesion <br> C <br> (psf) | Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imported Select Structural Fill | Active ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | 120 | 40 | 30 | -- | 0.33 |
|  | Passive ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) |  | 350 | 30 | -- | 3.0 |
|  | At Rest (ko) |  | 60 | 30 | -- | 0.50 |

* NOT representative of in-situ soft/loose silty and clayey soils that will be over-excavated and replaced as required to create stable construction surfaces.


### 10.1 Coefficient of Friction for Sliding Resistance

A Coefficient of Friction equal to 0.40 may be used for cast-in-place concrete retaining wall foundations in direct contact with Select Structural Fill.

### 11.0 GENERAL COMMENTS AND LIMITATIONS

While the CPT soundings and soil borings are representative of subsurface conditions at their respective locations and for their respective vertical reaches, local variations characteristic of the subsurface materials of the region are anticipated and may be encountered. The delineation between soil types shown on the logs is approximate and the description represents our interpretation of subsurface conditions at the designated test locations and on the particular date explored.

This report has been prepared in order to aid in the evaluation of this project and to assist the engineers in the project planning and structural design. At the time of writing, changes were still being considered to foundations, site grading, and other aspects of the project that could have a significant impact on the applicability or relevance of the recommendations provided in this report. SESI should be consulted as the design process continues to ensure that the recommendations provided in this report are still applicable, and that they are being properly interpreted.

## VOLKERT, INC

Report of Subsurface Investigation and Geotechnical Engineering Evaluation
Mobile International Airport - Proposed Terminal Building
Mobile Aeroplex at Brookley
Mobile, AL
SESI Project No: M23-442
October 20, 2023

This report is intended for use with regard to the specific project discussed herein as we understand it at this time, and any substantial changes in the project, loads, locations, or assumed grades should be brought to our attention so that we may determine how such changes may affect our conclusions and recommendations. We would appreciate the opportunity to review the plans and specifications for construction to ensure that our conclusions and recommendations are interpreted correctly.

Professional judgments on design alternatives and criteria are presented in this report. These are based partly on our evaluations of technical information gathered, partly on our understanding of the characteristics of the project being planned, and partly on our general experience with subsurface conditions in the area. We do not guarantee performance of the project in any respect, only that our engineering work and judgments rendered meet the standard of care of our profession.

The Geotechnical Engineer of Record should be retained by the Owner in the construction phase of the project so they can observe subsurface conditions revealed during construction, confirm that design assumptions are still applicable or provide revised recommendations based on conditions encountered during construction, and to help ensure that our recommendations are properly interpreted. We recommend that Southern Earth Sciences, Inc. be retained to perform observation and field-testing services during the site preparation and foundation construction.

This report is exclusively for the use and benefit of the addressee(s) identified on the first page of this report and is not for the use or benefit of, nor may it be relied upon by any other person or entity. The contents of this report may not be quoted in whole or in part or distributed to any person or entity other than the addressee(s) hereof without, in each case, advanced written consent.
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# APPENDIX 1 

## Test Location Plans

Soil Profile





SOIL BEHAVIIORTYPE (SBT)
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## APPENDIX 2

## CPT Sounding Logs

Soil Boring Logs
Shear Wave Velocity vs Depth

## Southern Earth Sciences



Operator: Brandon Green Sounding: T-1
Cone Used: DPG1210
GPS Data: N30.63832 W88.07967

CPT Date/Time: 8/30/2023 8:39:04 AM
Location: MAA TERMINAL
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 1.9-ft.

## Southern Earth Sciences



Operator: Brandon Green
Sounding: T-2
Cone Used: DPG1210
GPS Data: N30.63874 W88.07950

CPT Date/Time: 8/30/2023 9:59:41 AM
Location: MAA TERMINAL
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 1.6-ft.

## Southern Earth Sciences



Friction Ratio
$\mathrm{Fs}_{0}$ Qt (\%) $\left[\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right.$

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |


| Local Friction |  | Pore Pressure |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fs TSF |  | Pw PSI |  |
| 0 | 5 | -20 | 140 |

Operator: D. Hines
Sounding: T-3
Cone Used: DDG1526
GPS Data: N30.63894 W88.07940

CPT Date/Time: 8/29/2023 10:30:42 AM
Location: MAA Parking Terminal
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: collapsed and dry at $3.6-\mathrm{ft}$.

## Southern Earth Sciences



Maximum Depth $=29.35$ meters

Friction Ratio
Fs/Qt (\%)

$[-$

| Local Friction | Pore Pressure |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fs TSF |  | Pw PSI |  |
| 0 | 3 | -20 | 160 |

Operator: Brandon Green
Sounding: T-4
Cone Used: DDG1648
GPS Data: N30.63930 W88.07931

CPT Date/Time: 9/1/2023 10:45:30 AM
Location: MAA TERMINAL
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 4.1-ft.

## Southern Earth Sciences

Tip Resistance Qt TSF

| Local Friction |  | Pore Pressure |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Fs TSF |  | Pw PSI |  |
| 0 | 5 | -20 | 100 |

Friction Ratio
Fs/Qt (\%)
Soil Behavior Type*
SPT N*
Fs/Qt (\%)
Zone: UBC-1983 120 60\% Hammer
000
0 -

0




Maximum Depth $=97.60$ feet

| 71 | - | \| | , |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | । | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | , | 1 | 1 |
| । | , | । | , |
| । | , | , | , |



Depth Increment $=0.164$ feet


Operator: Brandon Green
Sounding: SCPT-T5
Cone Used: DPG1210
GPS Data: N30.63908 W88.07896

CPT Date/Time: 8/29/2023 2:43:50 PM
Location: MAA TERMINAL
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 1.7-ft.

## SOUNDING

sounding
CUSTOMER: Southern Earth Sciences
OPERATOR: Brandon Green
CONE ID: DPG1210
LOCATION: MAA TERMINAL
Seismic Velocity
(ft/s)


## Southern Earth Sciences

Tip Resistance
Qt TSF 450
0

10

20



Maximum Depth $=100.07$ feet

| Friction Ratio | Soil Behavior Type* | SPT N* |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Fs/Qt (\%) |  | Zone: UBC-1983 |$\quad$| 60\% Hammer |
| :--- |
| 0 |



Depth Increment $=0.164$ feet

Operator: D. Hines
Sounding: T-6
Cone Used: DDG1526
GPS Data: N30.63887 W88.07901

| Local Friction | Pore Pressure |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fs TSF | ${ }_{0}$ Pw PSI |  |  |
| 0 | 2 | -20 | 120 |

CPT Date/Time: 8/29/2023 11:22:37 AM
Location: MAA Parking Terminal
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: collapsed and dry at $1.3-\mathrm{ft}$.

BORING NO.: T-7
PROJECT: MAA - BFM TERMINAL
PROJECT LOCATION: MOBILE, AL
PROJECT NO.: M23-442
METHOD: FLIGHT/MUD DRILLING
BORING LOCATION: SEE TEST LOCATION PLAN
BORING ELEVATION: 20.5 ft
DATE COMPLETED: 08/29/23
WATER LEVEL DATE: 08/29/23
DRILLER: P. BYRD
${ }^{20}$


${ }^{-}{ }^{-} \overline{\mathrm{CL}}^{-}$- $\overline{\text { Soft }} \overline{\mathrm{G}} \overline{\mathrm{ray}} \overline{\mathrm{C} L \bar{A} \bar{Y}} \overline{\text { with }} \overline{\mathrm{T}} \overline{\mathrm{rac}} \overline{\mathrm{W}} \overline{\mathrm{W}} \overline{\mathrm{o}} \overline{o d}^{-------}$


3

4

5

3

46

Remarks:
N30.63856 W88.07914
Elevation estimated from Provided Topo Drawing

BORING NO.: T-7
PROJECT: MAA - BFM TERMINAL
PROJECT LOCATION: MOBILE, AL
PROJECT NO.: M23-442
METHOD: FLIGHT/MUD DRILLING
BORING LOCATION: SEE TEST LOCATION PLAN
DATE DRILLED: 08/29/23
BORING ELEVATION: 20.5 ft
DATE COMPLETED: 08/29/23
WATER LEVEL: 5 ft
GEOL / ENGR: E. REYES
WATER LEVEL DATE: 08/29/23
DRILLER: P. BYRD


Remarks: N30.63856 W88.07914
Elevation estimated from Provided Topo Drawing
SOUTHERN EARTH SCIENCES

## Southern Earth Sciences

| Friction Ratio | Soil Behavior Type* | SPT N $^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fs/Qt (\%) | Zone: UBC-1983 | $60 \%$ Hammer |
| 0 | 0 | 12 |





Maximum Depth $=100.23$ feet


1
家
-
\}
$\left\{\begin{array}{cc}1 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right.$

CPT Date/Time: 8/30/2023 7:40:26 AM
Location: MAA TERMINAL
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 1.8-ft.

| Local Friction | Pore Pressure |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0_{0}$ Fs TSF | Pw PSI |  |

Operator: Brandon Green
Sounding: T-8
Cone Used: DPG1210
GPS Data: N30.63820 W88.07922

## Southern Earth Sciences

0
Qt TSF 3500

20

0
$\left[\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ x & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline & 1 & 1\end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.$


Maximum Depth $=100.23$ feet

| Local Friction |  | Pore Pressure |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fs TSF | Pw PSI |  |  |
| 0 | 2 | -20 | 100 |

Friction Ratio
0
Fs/Qt (\%)
Soil Behavior Type*
SPT N*
Zone: UBC-1983 60\% Hammer

Operator: Brandon Green
Sounding: T-9
Cone Used: DPG1210
GPS Data: N30.63808 W88.07897

CPT Date/Time: 8/29/2023 9:37:01 AM
Location: MAA TERMINAL
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: Collapsed Wet At 7.9-ft.

## Southern Earth Sciences

Operator: Brandon Green
Sounding: T-10
Cone Used: DPG1210
GPS Data: N30.63845 W88.07893

CPT Date/Time: 8/29/2023 10:34:22 AM
Location: MAA TERMINAL
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: Collapsed Wet At 11.1-ft.

Tip Resistance Qt TSF
$0^{0}$

10

20


Local Friction Fs TSF
6000





Maximum Depth $=100.39$ feet


Max
Friction Ratio
Fs/Qt (\%)

1600

| Pore Pressure |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Pw PSI |  |
| -20 | 160 |

Пाাााए

|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
--\frac{1}{1}-\cdots-- \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right.
$$

|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\mid$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\Pi$ |



120
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Soil Behavior Type* } & \text { SPT N* } \\ \text { Zone: UBC-1983 } & 60 \% \text { Hammer }\end{array}$


Depth Increment $=0.164$ feet

## Southern Earth Sciences



Maximum Depth $=100.23$ feet

Local Friction Fs TSF
0

160
THT 160

CPT Date/Time: 8/29/2023 12:40:02 PM
Location: MAA TERMINAL
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: Collapsed Wet At 9.2-ft.

Operator: Brandon Green
Sounding: T-11
Cone Used: DPG1210
GPS Data: N30.63885 W88.07870

## Southern Earth Sciences

0
0
Tip Resistance Qt TSF



## 

Maximum Depth $=100.07$ feet

$\square$都

$$
\left.\begin{array}{llllll}
\hline & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Friction Ratio
Fs/Qt (\%)
$\left\{\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right.$

Soil Behavior Type*
SPT N*
Zone: UBC-1983 60\% Hammer
0

$\square$


Operator: Brandon Green
Sounding: T-12
Cone Used: DPG1210
GPS Data: N30.63895 W88.07868

CPT Date/Time: 8/29/2023 1:41:57 PM Location: MAA TERMINAL
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 3.8-ft.
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## APPENDIX 3

## Laboratory Test Data











Client: VOLKERT, INC.
Project: MAA - TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: T-7
Depth: 13.0'-15.0
Figure
Sample Number: T-1
Project No.: M23-442



Client: VOLKERT, INC.
Project: MAA - TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: T-7
Depth: 28.0'-30.0'
Figure
Sample Number: T-2
Project No.: M23-442



Client: VOLKERT, INC.
Project: MAA - TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: T-7
Depth: 43.0'-45.0'
Sample Number: T-3
Project No.: M23-442


## Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: M23-442
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: T-7 Depth: 13.0'-15.0' Sample Number: T-1



Load No.= 3
Load= 1.00 tsf

$$
D_{0}=0.0245
$$

$$
D_{50}=0.0299
$$

$$
D_{100}=0.0353
$$

$$
\mathrm{T}_{50}=2.05 \mathrm{~min} .
$$

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.135 \mathrm{ft} 2 / day$. |

$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.006$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Load No. }=4 \\
& \text { Load }=2.00 \mathrm{tsf} \\
& D_{0}=0.0416 \\
& D_{50}=0.0580 \\
& D_{100}=0.0744 \\
& T_{50}=11.02 \mathrm{~min} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}
$$

$$
0.023 \mathrm{ft} .2 / \mathrm{day}
$$

$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.014$

## Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: M23-442
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: T-7 Depth: 13.0'-15.0' Sample Number: T-1


Load No. $=5$
Load= 4.00 tsf
$D_{0}=0.0821$
$D_{50}=0.1068$
$D_{100}=0.1315$
$\mathrm{T}_{50}=10.97 \mathrm{~min}$.

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.020 \mathrm{ft.2}$ 2/day |

$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.012$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Load No. }=6 \\
& \text { Load }=8.00 \mathrm{tsf} \\
& D_{0}=0.1389 \\
& D_{50}=0.1604 \\
& D_{100}=0.1820 \\
& T_{50}=9.28 \mathrm{~min}
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.020 \mathrm{ft} .2 / \mathrm{day}$ |

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.012
$$

## Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: M23-442
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: T-7 Depth: 13.0'-15.0' Sample Number: T-1


Load No. $=7$
Load= 4.00 tsf
$D_{0}=0.1849$
$D_{50}=0.1831$
$D_{100}=0.1814$
$\mathrm{T}_{50}=1.66 \mathrm{~min}$.

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.106 \mathrm{ft} .2 /$ day |

Load No. $=8$
Load= 1.00 tsf

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0} & =0.1784 \\
D_{50} & =0.1695 \\
D_{100} & =0.1607 \\
T_{50} & =9.25 \mathrm{~min}
\end{aligned}
$$

$C_{V} @ T_{50}$
$0.020 \mathrm{ft} .2 /$ day

Figure


## Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: M23-442
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: T-7 Depth: 28.0'-30.0' Sample Number: T-2


Load No. $=1$
Load= 0.25 tsf
$D_{0}=0.0041$
$D_{50}=0.0053$
$D_{100}=0.0065$
$\mathrm{T}_{50}=0.64 \mathrm{~min}$.

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.432 \mathrm{ft} .2 / \mathrm{day}$ |

$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.001$


Load No. $=2$
Load= 0.50 tsf
$D_{0}=0.0088$
$D_{50}=0.0101$
$\mathrm{D}_{100}=0.0114$
$\mathrm{T}_{50}=0.92 \mathrm{~min}$.
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$
$0.294 \mathrm{ft} .2 /$ day
$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.002$

## Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: M23-442
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: T-7 Depth: 28.0'-30.0' Sample Number: T-2


Load No. $=5$
Load= 4.00 tsf
$\mathrm{D}_{0}=0.0476$
$\mathrm{D}_{50}=0.0768$
$D_{100}=0.1059$
$\mathrm{T}_{50}=10.10 \mathrm{~min}$.

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.022 \mathrm{ft} .2 / \mathrm{day}$ |

$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.025$


$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Load No. } & =6 \\
\text { Load } & =8.00 \mathrm{tsf} \\
D_{0} & =0.1183 \\
D_{50} & =0.1467 \\
D_{100} & =0.1750 \\
T_{50} & =9.85 \mathrm{~min} .
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.018 \mathrm{ft} 2 / day$. |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.019$ |${ }^{2}$

## Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: M23-442
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: T-7 Depth: 28.0'-30.0' Sample Number: T-2


Load No. $=7$
Load= 4.00 tsf

$$
D_{0}=0.1810
$$

$$
D_{50}=0.1787
$$

$$
D_{100}=0.1763
$$

$\mathrm{T}_{50}=1.53 \mathrm{~min}$.

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.106 \mathrm{ft}$. 2/day |

Load No. $=8$
Load= 1.00 tsf

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0} & =0.1742 \\
D_{50} & =0.1645 \\
D_{100} & =0.1548 \\
T_{50} & =9.38 \mathrm{~min}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$
0.018 ft . 2 day

Figure


## Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: M23-442
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: T-7 Depth: 43.0'-45.0' Sample Number: T-3


Load No. $=3$
Load= 1.00 tsf
$D_{0}=0.0128$
$D_{50}=0.0142$
$\mathrm{D}_{100}=0.0157$
$\mathrm{T}_{50}=3.04 \mathrm{~min}$.

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.088 \mathrm{ft} .2 / \mathrm{day}$ |

$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.002$


Load No. $=6$
Load= 8.00 tsf

$$
D_{0}=0.0425
$$

$$
D_{50}=0.0669
$$

$$
D_{100}=0.0913
$$

$$
\mathrm{T}_{50}=29.76 \mathrm{~min} .
$$

$C_{v} @ T_{50}$
0.008 ft . $2 /$ day
$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.010$

## Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: M23-442
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: T-7 Depth: 43.0'-45.0' Sample Number: T-3



Load No. $=7$
Load= 4.00 tsf

$$
D_{0}=0.0941
$$

$$
D_{50}=0.0912
$$

$$
D_{100}=0.0883
$$

$$
\mathrm{T}_{50}=4.51 \mathrm{~min} .
$$

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.048 \mathrm{ft} 2 / day$. |

$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.000$

```
Load No.= 8
```

Load= 1.00 tsf

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}_{0} & =0.0871 \\
\mathrm{D}_{50} & =0.0789 \\
\mathrm{D}_{100} & =0.0707 \\
\mathrm{~T}_{50} & =13.74 \mathrm{~min} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}
$$

$$
0.016 \mathrm{ft} \text {.2/day }
$$

October 13, 2023

## Kris Shantazio

Southern Earth Sciences, Inc.
Rangeline Rd.
Mobile, AL 36619

RE: Project: MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747

Dear Kris Shantazio:
Enclosed are the analytical results for sample(s) received by the laboratory on September 27, 2023. The results relate only to the samples included in this report.

The test results provided in this final report were generated by each of the following laboratories within the Pace Network:

- Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,
MKBrenner
Mary Kathryn Brenner
marykathryn.brenner@pacelabs.com
251-344-9106
Project Manager
Enclosures
cc: Jennifer Allen, Southern Earth Sciences, Inc.

## CERTIFICATIONS

Project: MAA/M23-442 08/30/23

Pace Project No.: 20290747

## Pace Analytical Services New Orleans

Florida Department of Health (NELAC): E87595
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency: 2000662023-7
Kansas Department of Health and Environment (NELAC):
E-10266
Louisiana Dept. of Environmental Quality (NELAC/LELAP):
02006

Texas Commission on Env. Quality (NELAC):
T104704405-23-18
U.S. Dept. of Agriculture Foreign Soil Import: 525-23-11789728

## SAMPLE SUMMARY

Project: MAA/M23-442 08/30/23

Pace Project No.: 20290747

| Lab ID | Sample ID | Matrix | Date Collected | Date Received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20290747001 | PG-7, S-2 | Solid | 08/30/23 08:00 | 09/27/23 09:11 |
| 20290747003 | PG-7, S-4 | Solid | 08/30/23 08:00 | 09/27/23 09:11 |
| 20290747004 | PG-7, S-5 | Solid | 08/30/23 08:00 | 09/27/23 09:11 |
| 20290747005 | T-7, S-2 | Solid | 08/30/23 08:00 | 09/27/23 09:11 |
| 20290747006 | T-7, S-3 | Solid | 08/30/23 08:00 | 09/27/23 09:11 |
| 20290747007 | T-7, S-4 | Solid | 08/30/23 08:00 | 09/27/23 09:11 |
| 20290747008 | T-7, S-5 | Solid | 08/30/23 08:00 | 09/27/23 09:11 |

## SAMPLE ANALYTE COUNT

Pace Project No.: 20290747

| Lab ID | Sample ID | Method | Analysts | Analytes <br> Reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20290747001 | PG-7, S-2 | EPA 9045 | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | Moisture | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9038 | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9251 | MHM | 1 |
| 20290747003 | PG-7, S-4 | EPA 9045 | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | Moisture | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9038 | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9251 | MHM | 1 |
| 20290747004 | PG-7, S-5 | EPA 9045 | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | Moisture | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9038 | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9251 | MHM | 1 |
| 20290747005 | T-7, S-2 | EPA 9045 | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | Moisture | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9038 | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9251 | MHM | 1 |
| 20290747006 | T-7, S-3 | EPA 9045 | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | Moisture | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | SKN | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9038 | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9251 | MHM | 1 |
| 20290747007 | T-7, S-4 | EPA 9045 | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | Moisture | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9038 | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9251 | MHM | 1 |
| 20290747008 | T-7, S-5 | EPA 9045 | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | Moisture | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9038 | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9251 | MHM | 1 |

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

| Project: | MAA/M23-442 08/30/23 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pace Project No.: | 20290747 |

Sample: PG-7, S-2 Lab ID: 20290747001 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.

| Parameters | Results | Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9045 pH Soil | Analytical Method: EPA 9045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH at 25 Degrees C | 5.1 | Std. Units | 0.010 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 10:38 |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | Analytical Method: Moisture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | 29.8 | \% | 0.50 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 07:55 |  | N2 |
| Resistivity | Analytical Method: EPA 120.1 Resistivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resistivity | 53200 | ohms-cm | 0.50 | 1 |  | 10/03/23 16:53 |  | H3 |
| 9038 Sulfate, Turbidimetric | Analytical Method: EPA 9038 Preparation Method: EPA 9038 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate | 78.8 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 65.4 | 1 | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 12:08 | 14808-79-8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2, \\ & \mathrm{H} 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9251 Chloride | Analytical Method: EPA 9251 Preparation Method: EPA 9251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | ND | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.1 | 1 | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 11:20 | 16887-00-6 | H1, H3 |

Sample: PG-7, S-4 Lab ID: 20290747003 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.

| Parameters | Results | Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9045 pH Soil | Analytical Method: EPA 9045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH at 25 Degrees C | 5.4 | Std. Units | 0.010 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 10:47 |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | Analytical Method: Moisture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | 26.6 | \% | 0.50 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 07:55 |  | N2 |
| Resistivity | Analytical Method: EPA 120.1 Resistivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resistivity | 82400 | ohms-cm | 0.50 | 1 |  | 10/03/23 15:33 |  | H3 |
| 9038 Sulfate, Turbidimetric | Analytical Method: EPA 9038 Preparation Method: EPA 9038 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate | ND | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 63.5 | 1 | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 12:08 | 14808-79-8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2, \\ & \mathrm{H} 3 \end{aligned}$ |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

| Project: | MAA/M23-442 08/30/23 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pace Project No.: | 20290747 |

Sample: PG-7, S-4 Lab ID: 20290747003 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.

|  |  | Results | Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9251 Chloride | Analytical Method: EPA 9251 Preparation Method: EPA 9251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: PG-7, S-5 Lab ID: 20290747004 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.

| Parameters | Results | Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9045 pH Soil | Analytical Method: EPA 9045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH at 25 Degrees C | 5.2 | Std. Units | 0.010 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 10:49 |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | Analytical Method: Moisture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | 20.2 | \% | 0.50 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 07:55 |  | N2 |
| Resistivity | Analytical Method: EPA 120.1 Resistivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resistivity | 95500 | ohms-cm | 0.50 | 1 |  | 10/03/23 15:36 |  | H3 |
| 9038 Sulfate, Turbidimetric | Analytical Method: EPA 9038 Preparation Method: EPA 9038 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 294 | 5 | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 12:08 | 14808-79-8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} 4, \mathrm{H} 1, \\ & \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{H} 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9251 Chloride | Analytical Method: EPA 9251 Preparation Method: EPA 9251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | ND | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 58.8 | 5 | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 11:30 | 16887-00-6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D3,H1, } \\ & \text { H3 } \end{aligned}$ |

Sample: T-7, S-2
Lab ID: 20290747005 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.

| Parameters | Results | Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9045 pH Soil | Analytical Method: EPA 9045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH at 25 Degrees C | 5.0 | Std. Units | 0.010 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 10:54 |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | Analytical Method: Moisture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | 15.1 | \% | 0.50 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 07:55 |  |  |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

| Project: | MAA/M23-442 08/30/23 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pace Project No.: | 20290747 |

Sample: T-7, S-2 Lab ID: 20290747005 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.

| Parameters | Results | Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resistivity | Analytical Pace Analy | EPA 12 <br> Services - | Resistivity Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resistivity | 44400 | ohms-cm | 0.50 | 1 |  | 10/03/23 15:40 |  | H3 |
| 9038 Sulfate, Turbidimetric | Analytical Pace Analy | d: EPA 90 Services - | Preparation Meth Orleans |  | $9038$ |  |  |  |
| Sulfate | ND | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 58.0 | 1 | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 12:08 | 14808-79-8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2, \\ & \mathrm{H} 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9251 Chloride | Analytical Pace Analy | d: EPA 92 <br> Services - | Preparation Meth Orleans |  | $9251$ |  |  |  |
| Chloride | ND | mg/kg | 11.6 | 1 | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 11:30 | 16887-00-6 | H1, H3 |

Sample: T-7, S-3
Lab ID: 20290747006 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.

| Parameters | Results | Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9045 pH Soil | Analytical Method: EPA 9045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH at 25 Degrees C | 5.7 | Std. Units | 0.010 | 1 |  | 10/06/23 11:03 |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | Analytical Method: Moisture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | 22.8 | \% | 0.50 | 1 |  | 10/12/23 09:54 |  | N2 |
| Resistivity | Analytical Method: EPA 120.1 Resistivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resistivity | 79500 | ohms-cm | 0.50 | 1 |  | 10/08/23 14:01 |  | H1, H3 |
| 9038 Sulfate, Turbidimetric | Analytical Method: EPA 9038 Preparation Method: EPA 9038 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate | 69.5 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 63.1 | 1 | 10/06/23 13:33 | 10/09/23 11:02 | 14808-79-8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2, \\ & \mathrm{H} 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9251 Chloride | Analytical Method: EPA 9251 Preparation Method: EPA 9251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | ND | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 12.6 | 1 | 10/06/23 13:33 | 10/09/23 10:57 | 16887-00-6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2, \\ & \mathrm{H} 3 \end{aligned}$ |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

| Project: | MAA/M23-442 08/30/23 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pace Project No.: | 20290747 |

Sample: T-7, S-4 Lab ID: 20290747007 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.

| Parameters | Results | Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9045 pH Soil | Analytical Method: EPA 9045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH at 25 Degrees C | 5.3 | Std. Units | 0.010 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 10:50 |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | Analytical Method: Moisture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | 29.5 | \% | 0.50 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 07:56 |  | N2 |
| Resistivity | Analytical Method: EPA 120.1 Resistivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resistivity | 51800 | ohms-cm | 0.50 | 1 |  | 10/03/23 15:42 |  | H3 |
| 9038 Sulfate, Turbidimetric | Analytical Method: EPA 9038 Preparation Method: EPA 9038 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate | 105 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 66.7 | 1 | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 12:15 | 14808-79-8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2, \\ & \mathrm{H} 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9251 Chloride | Analytical Method: EPA 9251 Preparation Method: EPA 9251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | ND | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13.3 | 1 | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 11:30 | 16887-00-6 | H1, H3 |

Sample: T-7, S-5 Lab ID: 20290747008 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.

| Parameters | Results | Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9045 pH Soil | Analytical Method: EPA 9045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH at 25 Degrees C | 4.0 | Std. Units | 0.010 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 10:51 |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | Analytical Method: Moisture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | 26.1 | \% | 0.50 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 07:56 |  | N2 |
| Resistivity | Analytical Method: EPA 120.1 Resistivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resistivity | 1230 | ohms-cm | 0.50 | 1 |  | 10/03/23 15:44 |  | H3 |
| 9038 Sulfate, Turbidimetric | Analytical Method: EPA 9038 Preparation Method: EPA 9038 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate | 1000 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 320 | 5 | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 12:17 | 14808-79-8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H1,H2, } \\ & \text { H3 } \end{aligned}$ |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: MAA/M23-442 08/30/23

Pace Project No.: 20290747

| Sample: T-7, S-5 | Lab ID: 20290747008 |  | Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 |  | Received: 09/27/23 09:11 |  | Matrix: Solid |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parameters | Results | Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| 9251 Chloride | Analytical Method: EPA 9251 Preparation Method: EPA 9251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride |  | ND mg/kg | 12.8 | 1 | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 11:30 | 16887-00-6 | H1, H3 |

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project:
MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747

| QC Batch: | 301288 | Analysis Method: | EPA 9045 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QC Batch Method: | EPA 9045 |  |  |


| SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 1442186 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20290747001 | Dup |  | Max |  |
| Parameter | Units | Result | Result | RPD | RPD | Qualifiers |
| pH at 25 Degrees C | Std. Units | 5.1 | 6.1 | 17 | 20 |  |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project:

MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747

| QC Batch: | 302398 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QC Batch Method: | EPA 9045 |


| SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 1447349 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20290747006 | Dup |  | Max |  |
| Parameter | Units | Result | Result | RPD | RPD | Qualifiers |
| pH at 25 Degrees C | Std. Units | 5.7 | 5.1 | 11 | 20 |  |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project: MAA/M23-442 08/30/23

Pace Project No.: 20290747

| QC Batch: | 301298 | Analysis Method: | Moisture |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QC Batch Method: | Moisture | Analysis Description: | Dry Weight/Percent Moisture |
|  |  | Laboratory: | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |
| Associated Lab Samples: | 20290747001, 20290747003, 20290747004, 20290747005, 20290747007, 20290747008 |  |  |


| SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 1442231 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parameter |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project: MAA/M23-442 08/30/23

Pace Project No.: 20290747

| QC Batch: | 303229 | Analysis Method: | Moisture |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QC Batch Method: | Moisture | Analysis Description: <br> Laboratory: | Dry Weight/Percent Moisture |
| Associated Lab Samples: 20290747006 |  |  |  |


| SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 1450901 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parameter |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project: MAA/M23-442 08/30/23

Pace Project No.: 20290747


| LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: | 1442615 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Spike | LCS | LCS | \% Rec |  |
| Parameter | Units | Conc. | Result | \% Rec | Limits | Qualifiers |
| Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 200 | 198 | 99 | 90-110 |  |


| MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE: | 1442617 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20290635001 | Spike | MS | MS | \% Rec |  |
| Parameter | Units | Result | Conc. | Result | \% Rec | Limits | Qualifiers |
| Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | ND | 98.3 | 105 | 98 | 75-125 |  |


| SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 1442616 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Parameter |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

## Project:

MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747

| QC Batch: | 302526 | Analysis Method: | EPA 9038 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QC Batch Method: | EPA 9038 | Analysis Description: <br> Laboratory: | 9038 Sulfate, Turbidimetric <br> Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |
| Associated Lab Samples: 20290747006 |  |  |  |


| METHOD BLANK: 1447768 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Associated Lab Samples: 20290747006 |$\quad$| Matrix: Solid |
| :---: |
| Parameter |


| LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: | 1447769 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Spike | LCS | LCS | \% Rec |  |
| Parameter | Units | Conc. | Result | \% Rec | Limits | Qualifiers |
| Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 200 | 190 | 95 | 90-110 |  |


| MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE: | 1447771 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20291109002 | Spike | MS | MS | \% Rec |  |
| Parameter | Units | Result | Conc. | Result | \% Rec | Limits | Qualifiers |
| Sulfate | mg/kg | 3740 | 950 | 3690 | -5 |  | 4,H3,M1 |


| SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 1447770 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parameter |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project: MAA/M23-442 08/30/23

Pace Project No.: 20290747


| LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: | 1442621 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Spike | LCS | LCS | \% Rec |  |
| Parameter | Units | Conc. | Result | \% Rec | Limits | Qualifiers |
| Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 666 | 614 | 92 | 90-110 |  |


| MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE: | 1442623 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20290635001 | Spike | MS | MS | \% Rec |  |
| Parameter | Units | Result | Conc. | Result | \% Rec | Limits | Qualifiers |
| Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | ND | 983 | 928 | 94 | 75-125 |  |



## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project:
MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747

| QC Batch: | 302525 | Analysis Method: | EPA 9251 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QC Batch Method: | EPA 9251 | Analysis Description: | 9251 Chloride |
|  |  | Laboratory: | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |
| Associated Lab Samples: 20290747006 |  |  |  |


| METHOD BLANK: 1447764 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Associated Lab Samples: 20290747006 |$\quad$| Matrix: Solid |
| :---: |
| Parameter |


| LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: | 1447765 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Spike | LCS | LCS | \% Rec |  |
| Parameter | Units | Conc. | Result | \% Rec | Limits | Qualifiers |
| Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 666 | 616 | 93 | 90-110 |  |


| MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE: | 1447767 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20291109002 | Spike | MS | MS | \% Rec |  |
| Parameter | Units | Result | Conc. | Result | \% Rec | Limits | Qualifiers |
| Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 554 | 9500 | 9560 | 95 | 75-1 | 4,H3 |

## SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 1447766



## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,

## QUALIFIERS

## Project:

MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747

## DEFINITIONS

DF - Dilution Factor, if reported, represents the factor applied to the reported data due to dilution of the sample aliquot.
ND - Not Detected at or above adjusted reporting limit.
TNTC - Too Numerous To Count
$J$ - Estimated concentration above the adjusted method detection limit and below the adjusted reporting limit.
MDL - Adjusted Method Detection Limit.
PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit.
RL - Reporting Limit - The lowest concentration value that meets project requirements for quantitative data with known precision and bias for a specific analyte in a specific matrix.

## S - Surrogate

1,2-Diphenylhydrazine decomposes to and cannot be separated from Azobenzene using Method 8270. The result for each analyte is a combined concentration.
Consistent with EPA guidelines, unrounded data are displayed and have been used to calculate \% recovery and RPD values.
LCS(D) - Laboratory Control Sample (Duplicate)
MS(D) - Matrix Spike (Duplicate)
DUP - Sample Duplicate
RPD - Relative Percent Difference
NC - Not Calculable.
SG - Silica Gel - Clean-Up
U - Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
N -Nitrosodiphenylamine decomposes and cannot be separated from Diphenylamine using Method 8270. The result reported for each analyte is a combined concentration.
Reported results are not rounded until the final step prior to reporting. Therefore, calculated parameters that are typically reported as "Total" may vary slightly from the sum of the reported component parameters.

## ANALYTE QUALIFIERS

D3 Sample was diluted due to the presence of high levels of non-target analytes or other matrix interference.
D4 Sample was diluted due to the presence of high levels of target analytes.
H1 Analysis conducted outside the EPA method holding time.
H2 Extraction or preparation conducted outside EPA method holding time.
H3 Sample was received or analysis requested beyond the recognized method holding time.
M
Matrix spike recovery exceeded QC limits. Batch accepted based on laboratory control sample (LCS) recovery.
N2 The lab does not hold NELAC/TNI accreditation for this parameter but other accreditations/certifications may apply. A complete list of accreditations/certifications is available upon request.

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

| Project: | MAA/M23-442 08/30/23 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pace Project No.: | 20290747 |


| Lab ID | Sample ID | QC Batch Method | QC Batch | Analytical Method | Analytical Batch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20290747001 | PG-7, S-2 | EPA 9045 | 301288 |  |  |
| 20290747003 | PG-7, S-4 | EPA 9045 | 301288 |  |  |
| 20290747004 | PG-7, S-5 | EPA 9045 | 301288 |  |  |
| 20290747005 | T-7, S-2 | EPA 9045 | 301288 |  |  |
| 20290747006 | T-7, S-3 | EPA 9045 | 302398 |  |  |
| 20290747007 | T-7, S-4 | EPA 9045 | 301288 |  |  |
| 20290747008 | T-7, S-5 | EPA 9045 | 301288 |  |  |
| 20290747001 | PG-7, S-2 | Moisture | 301298 |  |  |
| 20290747003 | PG-7, S-4 | Moisture | 301298 |  |  |
| 20290747004 | PG-7, S-5 | Moisture | 301298 |  |  |
| 20290747005 | T-7, S-2 | Moisture | 301298 |  |  |
| 20290747006 | T-7, S-3 | Moisture | 303229 |  |  |
| 20290747007 | T-7, S-4 | Moisture | 301298 |  |  |
| 20290747008 | T-7, S-5 | Moisture | 301298 |  |  |
| 20290747001 | PG-7, S-2 | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | 301882 |  |  |
| 20290747003 | PG-7, S-4 | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | 301882 |  |  |
| 20290747004 | PG-7, S-5 | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | 301882 |  |  |
| 20290747005 | T-7, S-2 | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | 301882 |  |  |
| 20290747006 | T-7, S-3 | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | 302601 |  |  |
| 20290747007 | T-7, S-4 | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | 301882 |  |  |
| 20290747008 | T-7, S-5 | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | 301882 |  |  |
| 20290747001 | PG-7, S-2 | EPA 9038 | 301404 | EPA 9038 | 301668 |
| 20290747003 | PG-7, S-4 | EPA 9038 | 301404 | EPA 9038 | 301668 |
| 20290747004 | PG-7, S-5 | EPA 9038 | 301404 | EPA 9038 | 301668 |
| 20290747005 | T-7, S-2 | EPA 9038 | 301404 | EPA 9038 | 301668 |
| 20290747006 | T-7, S-3 | EPA 9038 | 302526 | EPA 9038 | 302557 |
| 20290747007 | T-7, S-4 | EPA 9038 | 301404 | EPA 9038 | 301668 |
| 20290747008 | T-7, S-5 | EPA 9038 | 301404 | EPA 9038 | 301668 |
| 20290747001 | PG-7, S-2 | EPA 9251 | 301405 | EPA 9251 | 301667 |
| 20290747003 | PG-7, S-4 | EPA 9251 | 301405 | EPA 9251 | 301667 |
| 20290747004 | PG-7, S-5 | EPA 9251 | 301405 | EPA 9251 | 301667 |
| 20290747005 | T-7, S-2 | EPA 9251 | 301405 | EPA 9251 | 301667 |
| 20290747006 | T-7, S-3 | EPA 9251 | 302525 | EPA 9251 | 302556 |
| 20290747007 | T-7, S-4 | EPA 9251 | 301405 | EPA 9251 | 301667 |
| 20290747008 | T-7, S-5 | EPA 9251 | 301405 | EPA 9251 | 301667 |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS
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## APPENDIX 4

L-Pile Analysis Results

M23-442 MAA Terminal Building 14 inch Drilled Dispalcement - Row 1
Lateral Pile Deflection (inches)




M23-442 MAA Terminal Building 14 inch Drilled Dispalcement - Row 2 Lateral Pile Deflection (inches)
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## APPENDIX 5

## Provided Plans
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## VOLKERT, INC

1110 Montlimar Drive
Suite 1050
Mobile, AL 36609

| ATTENTION: | Mr. "Hank" Harold Z. Eubanks, P.E. <br>  <br> Asst. Vice President |
| :--- | :--- |
| REFERENCE: $\quad$Report of Subsurface Investigation and Geotechnical Engineering Evaluation <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Mobile International Airport - Proposed Parking Garage <br> SESI Project No: M23-442 Brookley |  |

Dear Mr. Eubanks:
Southern Earth Sciences, Inc. (SESI) has completed the subsurface investigation and geotechnical engineering evaluation for the referenced project. This report presents our understanding of the available project information and outlines our soil related recommendations and comments regarding construction and foundation support for the proposed parking garage structure.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service and look forward to our continued involvement throughout pile testing and construction phases of the project. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

## SOUTHERN EARTH SCIENCES, INC.

Matt Coaker, P.E.
Curran Nicholas, E.I.
Vice President
Registered, Alabama 30835

MC/CN
Attachments
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### 1.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

Based on our understanding of the provided information, the project will consist of a new multi-level airport parking garage structure totaling approximately $100,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ in plan area. The project site is located on the west side of Michigan Avenue north of the existing Mobile Downtown Airport Terminal Building. The proposed parking garage will be constructed over the recently enclosed Rabby Creek. Based on the preliminary structural loading information provided to us by Mr. Thiago Leao, P.E. with Walker Consultants, we understand maximum interior and exterior column loads are expected to be on the order of approximately 1,400 kips and 700 kips, respectively. No additional detailed project information was available at this time. SES should be consulted to review project plans and details as the design progresses.

### 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION, TOPOGRAPHY AND PROPOSED SITE GRADING

Based on our review of historical aerial imagery, the majority of the proposed parking garage structure will be located in areas previously used for automobile and tractor trailer parking and container storage. Rabby Creek was enclosed in 2022 with a cast-in-place concrete culvert. The culvert approximately bisects the proposed parking garage structure. Existing ground elevations estimated from the provided topographic data (post Rabby Creek enclosure) range from approximately elevation (EL) +17 within the southcentral portion of the proposed garage (Rabby Creek alignment) to EL +22 within the eastern portion of the structure.

Based on our correspondence with Mr. Nick Rose with Volkert, Inc., we understand that the Finished Floor Elevation of the garage structure is set at EL+25 feet, which is approximately 3 to 8 feet above current site elevation. Based on the topographic survey performed prior to the Rabby Creek enclosure, the lowest grade along Rabby Creek and within the proposed parking garage footprint was near EL +12 , meaning that final grade of the proposed parking garage may be as much as 13 feet above the original pre-enclosure site elevation along the previous Rabby Creek Alignment. All reference to elevation has been estimated based on the provided topographic survey data attached for reference in Appendix 6.

### 3.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION

Ten (10) Cone Penetrometer Test (CPT) soundings, one (1) SCPT sounding (CPT sounding with Shear Wave Velocity measurements), and one (1) Standard Penetration Test (SPT) boring were performed within the project area. CPT soundings and the soil boring were performed by SES field crews at the approximate locations shown on the Test Location Plan included in Appendix 1. Test locations were selected by SES
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engineering staff and were cleared in the field of underground utilities using Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) by E.F. Thompson Geotechnologies, Inc.

CPT soundings were advanced to depths ranging from approximately 100 to 150 feet below ground surface in general accordance with ASTM Specification D-5778 using a truck mounted 20-ton Hogentogler Electronic CPT rig. Soil classifications were interpreted from methods recommended by Robertson and Campanella. Correlations between Cone Resistance values and Standard Penetration Testing " N " values were performed according to the methods developed by Robertson, Campanella and Wightman. The soil types and stratigraphy shown on the CPT Log sheets are based upon material parameters measured and evaluated as the cone is advanced. CPT Log sheets graphically showing the cone tip resistance, friction, equivalent N 60 -value and interpreted soil behavior type at each sounding location are attached in

## Appendix 2.

The Seismic CPT sounding was advanced to approximately 100 feet below existing grade in general accordance with ASTM Specification D-5778 and D-7400 using the same truck mounted 20-ton Hogentogler Electronic CPT rig as used for the conventional CPT soundings. The sounding was conducted with a piezo cone that is equipped with a geophone sensor to measure the magnitude and arrival time of seismic shear and compression waves. Seismic shear waves are generated at the soil surface by striking the end of a steel plate that is pressed onto the ground using the leveling jack of the rig. An electronic trigger attached to the hammer records the exact time of the strike. As seismic waves are registered by the geophone sensors, data is transferred from the cone to the soil surface by wires that run though the push rods. The SCPT data acquisition system logs this data and analyzes it to determine the speed of the waves based on their arrival time and the distance between the wave generator and the sensors. Shear wave velocity measurements were taken at five (5) foot intervals to full depth of the sounding. Shear wave velocities with depth are attached in Appendix 2.

The soil boring with Standard Penetration Tests (SPTs) was advanced to a depth of approximately 90 feet below the existing ground surface using truck mounted drilling equipment. Soil sampling and penetration testing in the soil test borings were performed in general accordance with ASTM Specification D 1586 using solid stem auger until groundwater was encountered and mud rotary drilling techniques below the groundwater level for the remainder of the boring. At regular intervals during the process, the drill rods were removed, and soil samples were obtained with a standard 2 -inch split tube sampler. Soils were sampled at 2.5 ft intervals to 10 feet and then at 5 ft sample intervals to boring termination.
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Representative portions of soil samples obtained during the investigation were transported to our laboratory for classification testing. Samples were examined by an engineer and classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System. Soil descriptions, penetration resistances and laboratory testing results are shown on the appropriate Soil Boring Log sheets attached in Appendix 2.

### 4.0 LABORATORY TESTING

Laboratory testing included physical examination and general classification testing of samples obtained from the soil test borings in SES laboratories. Testing included Moisture Content Determination (ASTM D2216), No. 200 Sieve Washes (ASTM D1140), Sieve Analysis (ASTM D6913), Atterberg Limits Tests (ASTM D4318), Consolidation Tests (ASTM D2435) and Unconsolidated Undrained (UU) Triaxial Tests (ASTM D2850). Test results are included on Soil Boring Logs attached in Appendix 2 and on Laboratory Test Data Summary Sheets attached in Appendix 3. Test reports for the consolidation and UU Triaxial tests are also included in Appendix 3.

### 4.1 Laboratory Chemical Analysis and Corrosion Potential

Selected soil samples obtained from within the upper 10 feet of the site were forwarded to Pace Analytical Services, LLC for analytical testing. Testing included pH (EPA 9045), Resistivity (EPA 9050), Sulfate (EPA9038) and Chloride (EPA 9251). In some instances, test results of samples collected within nearby buildings and during previous explorations within areas of similar subsurface conditions have been used to supplement our assessment of the potential for corrosion of buried steel and deterioration of concrete foundation elements. Test results are summarized in the following table and are attached in Appendix $\mathbf{3}$ for reference. Our conclusions, based on these test results and our experience with similar soils present across the Mobile Aeroplex at Brookley, are discussed in the following sections.
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## TABLE 1

CORROSION SERIES LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

| Soil Test |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Sample <br> Depth <br> (ft) | pH | Resistivity <br> (Kohm-cm) | Sulfate <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ | Chloride <br> (mg/kg) |
| PG-7, S-2 | $2.5-4.0$ | 5.1 | 53.2 | 78.8 | $<33.3$ |
| PG-7, S-4 | $5.0-6.5$ | 5.4 | 82.4 | $<33.3$ | $<33.3$ |
| PG-7, S-5 | $10-11.5$ | 5.2 | 95.5 | 321 | $<33.3$ |
| T-7, S-2 | $2.5-4.0$ | 5.0 | 44.4 | $<33.3$ | $<33.3$ |
| T-7, S-3 | $5.0-6.5$ | 5.7 | 79.5 | 69.5 | $<33.3$ |
| T-7, S-4 | $7.5-9.0$ | 5.3 | 51.8 | 105 | $<33.3$ |
| T-7, S-5 | $10-11.5$ | 4.0 | 1.23 | 1000 | $<33.3$ |

### 4.1.1 Soil Resistivity

Laboratory results indicate measured resistivity values ranging from 1.23 to $95.5 \mathrm{kohm}-\mathrm{cm}$. This range of resistivity values is considered highly corrosive to essentially non-corrosive to buried steel infrastructure. The table below summarizes the relative corrosivity rating as a function of soil resistivity. Variation in soil resistivity at this site is anticipated as upper soils vary considerably in density, moisture content, gradation, and organic content.
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## TABLE 2

## CORROSION SEVERITY RATING BASED ON RESISTIVITY

(From Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 3-570-01 and Corrosion Basics: An Introduction 2nd Edition, by Pierre R. Roberge, 2006 by NACE Press Book)

| Soil <br> Resistivity <br> Range <br> (Kohm-cm) | Relative <br> Corrosivity <br> Rating |
| :---: | :---: |
| $<1$ | Extremely Corrosive |
| 1 to 3 | Highly Corrosive |
| 3 to 5 | Corrosive |
| 5 to 10 | Moderately Corrosive |
| 10 to 20 | Mildly Corrosive |
| 20 to 30 | Progressively Less Corrosive |
| $>30$ | Essentially Non-Corrosive |

### 4.1.2 Soil pH

Acidic attack of concrete is generally not a concern unless it is exposed to a relatively continuous flow of groundwater and a pH of less than 5.5. pH of tested samples ranged from 4.0 to 5.7. Although pH values are relatively low at some locations and depths, foundation infrastructure is anticipated to be constructed well above the groundwater level. Our opinion is that the effect of pH on concrete foundations at this site is not a concern.

### 4.1.3 Chlorides

Chloride test results in accordance with EPA 9251 indicate that concentrations on tested samples are generally less than $33.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. According to guidelines established by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), soil chloride concentrations less than $500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ are not considered severe. Chloride concentrations are not considered severe at this site.
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### 4.1.4 Sulfates

Soluble sulfate testing of soils in accordance with test method EPA 9038 indicates that sulfate concentrations of tested samples range from below the reporting limit of $33.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ to approximately $1000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Sulfate exposure is considered to be moderate to negligible by ACl standards with respect to effects on buried concrete foundations. The use of Type I/II cement will be suitable for use in buried foundation elements at this site. The following table presents a summary of guidelines for cement type selection as recommended in Table 4.3.1 of the American Concrete Institute (ACI) Code.

TABLE 3

## CEMENT TYPE FOR CONCRETE EXPOSED TO SULFATES

(Table 4.3.1 of the American Concrete Institute (ACI) Code)

| Sulfate as $\mathbf{S O}_{4}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg})$ | Relative Degree of Sulfate <br> Attack | Cement Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-1,000$ | Negligible | I |
| 1,000 to 2,000 | Moderate | II |
| 2,000 to 20,000 | Severe | V |
| 20,000 or more | Extreme | V plus pozzalan |

### 5.0 GENERALIZED SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The subsurface descriptions below are generalized to highlight the major subsurface stratigraphy encountered across the site. The Soil Boring Logs and CPT Sounding Logs attached in Appendix 2 and Soil Profiles attached in Appendix 1 present specific information at individual boring location including soil description, stratification, approximate elevation, ground water level, soil strength and laboratory tests results. This information is representative of conditions encountered at boring locations. Variations may occur and should be expected between boring locations. The stratification represents the approximate boundary between subsurface materials as the actual transition may be gradual. Approximate ground elevations at test locations were estimated using the topographic data provided to us in Appendix 6.
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Soils within approximately the upper 1 to 4 ft of the site generally consist of loose to medium dense silty and clayey sands underlain by very soft to stiff silts and clays to approximately 10 feet. Below approximately 10 ft , very soft to soft silts and clays were encountered to depths ranging from approximately 15 to 20 feet. A thin, loose silty sand substrata was encountered at depths ranging between approximately 15 ft and 24 ft . Below this level, soft to medium stiff silts and clays were encountered to depths of approximately 50 to 60 feet.

Soils below depths ranging from 50 to 60 feet are variable across the building area. The approximate delineation between East and West Site Regions is shown on the Pile Penetration Plan discussed in the following sections and attached in Appendix 5. Below approximately 50 ft within the western portion of the proposed structure (PG-3, PG-4, PG-5, PG-6, PG-7, PG-8, PG-9, SCPT-PG-10), alternating strata of medium dense sands and stiff silts and clays were encountered to approximately 70 to 75 ft . Below this layer, dense sands were encountered to the termination of most CPT Soundings at a depth of approximately 100 ft . SCPT-PG-10 is considered an outlier with alternating strata of medium dense sands and stiff silts and clays to approximately 60 ft underlain by medium dense to dense sands to 80 ft followed by stiff silts and clays to 85 ft before terminating in the dense sands to a depth of 100 ft . Below approximately 100 ft at the deepest test location, PG-9, loose to medium dense sands were encountered to termination of the investigation approximately 150 feet below the existing ground surface.

Below approximately 55 ft within the eastern portion of the proposed structure (PG-1, PG-2, PG-11, PG12), soils generally consisted of medium dense to dense sands to termination of most CPT Soundings at a depth of approximately 100 ft . PG-1 is considered an outlier and encountered medium dense to dense sands below approximately 60 ft to approximately 68 ft underlain by alternating strata of loose sands and medium stiff silts and clays to approximately 75 ft terminating in the dense sands to a depth of 100 ft . Below approximately 90 ft at test location, PG-12 stiff sandy silts were encountered to approximately 100 ft followed by loose to medium dense sands to termination of the investigation approximately 150 feet below the existing ground surface. Detailed descriptions of soils encountered at each test location are shown on the appropriate CPT Sounding logs included in Appendix 2.

### 6.0 GROUNDWATER

Direct groundwater measurements were not possible at CPT locations at the time of our investigation due to most of the CPT sounding holes collapsing upon rod removal. Caved depths ranged from approximately 1 to 4 feet below the existing ground surface, likely indicating proximity to perched water levels or
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saturated soil conditions near or above the collapsed depths. Depth to sounding collapse at each test location at the time of our investigation are shown on the appropriate CPT sounding sheet attached in Appendix 2. Soil boring PG-7 encountered water at a depth of approximately 6 feet below existing ground surface at the time of our investigation, likely indicating proximity to perched water level. The groundwater level encountered at PG-7 at the time of our investigation is shown on the appropriate Soil Boring Log attached in Appendix 2.

Estimation of static groundwater levels using measured porewater pressure from CPT data indicates that a hydrostatic water level exists at depths of approximately 19 to 21 feet below ground surface or near $E L+0$. While the true static groundwater table is deep, our experience at this site indicates that shallow groundwater (perched water) levels will usually be present and will fluctuate with weather conditions at the time of construction. The low permeability silty and clayey soils present within the upper reaches of this site will create shallow perched water conditions within imported granular fill soils after periods of rainfall.

Groundwater depths or elevations should be verified at the time of construction for cases where groundwater variations are potentially significant for construction. Fluctuation in the groundwater table will occur due to variances in rainfall, elevation, drainage, types of soil encountered and other factors not evident at the time measurements were made. Reference to depth has been made with respect to the existing ground surface encountered at the time of our field investigation.

### 7.0 SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS AND GEOLOGIC HAZARDS

Down-hole shear wave velocities measured within the upper 100 feet of site at test locations performed within the proposed garage building area indicate a weighted average shear wave velocity of approximately 625 feet per second. Shear wave velocity measurements plotted vs. depth are attached in Appendix 2. Per ASCE-7-2016 and the International Building Code (IBC) 2018 Edition, our opinion is that this site would best be categorized as Site Class D. The site is not within a special seismic hazard or earthquake fault zone. Based on subsurface information collected at the site and our experience in this geologic area, supplemental geologic hazard evaluations are not recommended for this site. Potentially liquefiable soils were not encountered. Liquefaction induced settlement and/or lateral spread is not a concern at this site.

## VOLKERT, INC

Report of Subsurface Investigation and Geotechnical Engineering Evaluation
Mobile International Airport - Proposed Parking Garage
Mobile Aeroplex at Brookley
Mobile, AL
SESI Project No: M23-442
October 25, 2023

### 8.0 GEOTECHNICAL OVERVIEW

Our evaluation of subsurface conditions and foundation alternatives for this project has been based on the project information previously described in this report and subsurface data obtained during the investigation. In evaluating the CPT sounding and soil boring data, we have used empirical correlations previously established between standard penetration resistances, cone tip and side resistance values, soil index properties and foundation stability. Soil parameters used in the evaluation were derived from the CPT sounding data using the interpretation software RAPID CPT ${ }^{\circ}$ by Dataforensics.

### 8.1 Parking Garage Foundations

Soils encountered between approximately 4 and 40 feet consist of highly compressible, loose clayey sands and very soft to soft clays. Considering the anticipated magnitude of structural loads for this project and the presence of these highly compressible soils, shallow foundations are not considered a viable option for this structure. Pile foundations will be required for support of building foundations. Pile foundations will provide positive foundation support by transferring structural loads into the medium dense sand bearing strata encountered beginning at depths ranging from approximately 55 to 75 feet beneath the existing ground surface.

Pile lengths will vary considerably across the building area due to the variation in depth to the top of the dense sand bearing strata and due to the intermediate clay strata present between approximately 60 and 75 feet below grade within the western portion of the site. The approximate delineation of anticipated pile length variation is depicted on the Pile Penetration Plan in Appendix 5. Test locations SCPT-PG-10 and PG-1 are considered outliers and will require deeper pile penetration than the other piles in their respective areas of the project site. To help delineate required pile penetration in these areas, we recommend performing several supplemental CPT Soundings in each area to assist with refining final pile lengths. This supplemental exploration should be performed prior to the test pile program by or under the direct supervision of the SES Geotechnical Engineer of Record.

Augercast piles and Drilled Displacement Piles would be acceptable pile types for this project from a geotechnical capacity standpoint. Driven piles would be an acceptable alternative from a geotechnical perspective but have not been addressed in this report due to expected hard driving that would be encountered above design tip elevation and resulting vibrations and noise during pile
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installation that could be problematic to the nearby facilities and operations. Pile design recommendations are provided in the following sections of this report.

### 8.2 Ground Level Floor Slabs

The soft clay soils present at this site between depths of approximately 4 and 40 feet are not capable of providing uniform support for a soil supported floor slab concept at the proposed Finished Floor Elevation. When subjected to the weight of fill soils that have been placed during enclosing Rabby Creek and the planned addition of up to approximately 8 feet of anticipated fill, these soft and loose materials will be susceptible to settlements estimated to range from approximately 2 inches in areas of minimal fill up to approximately 6.5 inches in areas of maximum fill. A portion of the anticipated settlement at this site would consist of a relatively short-term strain-type settlement that would occur during and shortly after fill placement, but most of the settlement would consist of long-term consolidation settlement that would occur over a period of several years after fill placement and completion of construction. Secondary compression would theoretically continue indefinitely throughout the design life of the facility. Fill induced settlement of soil supported floor slabs and hardscape would be differential with respect to pile supported foundation elements and will vary across the proposed garage structure area with fill height above existing grade. Based on our experience with similar soil conditions and many existing structures across Mobile Aeroplex at Brookley, we have assumed that pile foundations will likely be the preferred approach to minimize the potential for ground level floor slab settlement on this project.

As an alternative to pile supporting the ground level floor slabs, surcharging the building area with earthen fill above final design grade and prefabricated vertical wick drains for a period of time prior building construction could be considered to help reduce post construction settlement. A surcharge program at this site could be designed to reduce primary consolidation settlement to less than approximately 1 -inch, but surcharging would not eliminate post construction differential settlement of grade supported floor slabs with respect to pile supported foundation elements over the life of the facility as some consolidation settlement potential will remain after surcharging, and secondary compression settlement in these soft soils can continue indefinitely.

A surcharge program for this project site would generally consist of installing prefabricated vertical wick drains to a depth of approximately 60 feet below existing grade on an approximate 4 to 5 ft center to center triangular spacing followed by preloading areas within and extending a lateral
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distance of approximately $25-50$ feet outside the building perimeter with 6 to 10 feet of earthen surcharge material above FFE for a period of 90 to 120 days. For reporting purposes, we have anticipated that surcharging will not be considered a viable option for this project due to construction scheduling constraints. Should construction scheduling allow for a surcharge program, SES should be consulted to provide detailed recommendations for surcharge height, duration, and a settlement monitoring plan

### 9.0 FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS

Building foundations and the ground level floor slab system should be structurally supported by deep foundations. Ideally, the building and first level floor system could be constructed as an elevated structure to minimize fill heights above existing grade. This approach would result in a more efficient pile design since down-drag reduction would not be necessary and would also reduce the potential for differential settlement of grade supported hardscape and utilities with respect to pile supported foundation elements. If fill placement beneath and surrounding the structure cannot be limited, and up to approximately 8 feet of fill will be placed above original grade to achieve FFE EL +25 , a reduction in allowable compressive pile capacity will be required to account for down-drag forces and special provisions will be necessary to manage differential settlement between pile supported foundations and grade supported hardscape, pavements, utilities, etc.

The following tables present our recommended pile penetration depths and corresponding allowable compression and tension capacities from static analysis. Tables 4,5,8 and 9 present pile capacities that could be considered in areas where fill placement above existing grade can be limited to approximately 18 inches above existing grade. Tables $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ present pile capacities that should be used if fill heights will exceed approximately 18 inches above existing grade. Piles at this site must be adequately embedded into the dense sand strata encountered generally beginning at depths ranging from approximately 55 to 75 feet below the existing ground surface. Compression capacity of piles that are not adequately embedded into the dense sand bearing strata will be considerably less than those presented in the following tables.

### 9.1 Estimated Pile Capacities

Recommended pile penetration depth and corresponding allowable compression and tension capacities for Augercast Piles and Drilled Displacement Piles are presented in the following tables. Piles are expected to develop their capacity as a result of side resistance in the various sand and clay
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strata above approximately 55 to 75 feet and from a combination of side resistance and end bearing in the dense sands encountered below this level. Estimated pile capacities are based on a Factor of Safety of 2.0 (FOS). The Pile lengths, sizes and capacities presented are based on soil-pile interaction and do not consider structural aspects of the pile. Pile penetration depths are referenced to the existing ground surface.

TABLE 4 - WEST
ALLOWABLE PILE CAPACITIES - AUGER-CAST PILING NO DOWNDRAG REDUCTION
(FACTOR OF SAFETY = 2.0)

| Expected Pile <br> Penetration <br> Below Existing <br> Grade* | Pile Diam/Size and Type <br> (inches) | Allowable Compression <br> Capacity <br> (tons) | Allowable <br> Tension Capacity <br> (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 feet** | $16^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 85 | 35 |
|  | $18^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 100 | 40 |
|  | $20^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 120 | 45 |

*Referenced to existing ground surface at the time of field investigation
${ }^{* *}$ Up to 88 feet in the area of PG-10. To be verified upon completion of supplemental CPTs.
TABLE 5 - WEST
ALLOWABLE PILE CAPACITIES - DRILLED DISPLACEMENT PILING NO DOWNDRAG REDUCTION
(FACTOR OF SAFETY = 2.0)

| Expected Pile <br> Penetration <br> Below Existing <br> Grade* | Pile Diam/Size and Type <br> (inches) | Allowable Compression <br> Capacity <br> (tons) | Allowable <br> Tension Capacity <br> (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78 to 88 feet | $14^{\prime \prime}$ Drilled Displacement | 100 | 45 |
|  | $16^{\prime \prime}$ Drilled Displacement | 120 | 50 |

*Referenced to existing ground surface at the time of field investigation
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Using known FFE of the proposed structure and topographic data provided to us, we estimate that FFE will be as much as approximately 8 feet above existing site grade; therefore, an approximate 15 to 21 percent reduction in axial compressive pile capacity has been incorporated into the estimated capacities to account for negative side friction forces (down-drag) that will be induced on the piles as deep compressible soils consolidate over time.

TABLE 6 - WEST
ALLOWABLE PILE CAPACITIES - AUGER-CAST PILING
REDUCED FOR DOWNDRAG
(FACTOR OF SAFETY = 2.0)

| Expected Pile <br> Penetration <br> Below Existing <br> Grade* | Pile Diam/Size and Type <br> (inches) | Allowable Compression <br> Capacity*** <br> (tons) | Allowable <br> Tension Capacity <br> (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 feet** | $16^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 70 | 35 |
|  | $18^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 85 | 40 |
|  | $20^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 95 | 45 |

[^1]
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TABLE 7 - WEST

## ALLOWABLE PILE CAPACITIES - DRILLED DISPLACEMENT PILING

 REDUCED FOR DOWNDRAG(FACTOR OF SAFETY = 2.0)

| Expected Pile <br> Penetration <br> Below Existing <br> Grade* | Pile Diam/Size and Type <br> (inches) | Allowable Compression <br> Capacity** <br> (tons) | Allowable <br> Tension Capacity <br> (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78 to 88 feet | $14^{\prime \prime}$ Drilled Displacement | 80 | 45 |
|  | $16^{\prime \prime}$ Drilled Displacement | 100 | 50 |

*Referenced to existing ground surface at the time of field investigation
**Capacities reduced to account for down drag
TABLE 8 - WEST
ALLOWABLE PILE CAPACITIES - AUGER-CAST PILING
NO DOWNDRAG REDUCTION
(FACTOR OF SAFETY $=2.0$ )

| Expected Pile <br> Penetration <br> Below Existing <br> Grade* | Pile Diam/Size and Type <br> (inches) | Allowable Compression <br> Capacity** <br> (tons) | Allowable <br> Tension Capacity <br> (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 feet | 16" Augercast | 70 | 25 |
|  | 18" Augercast | 80 | 30 |
|  | $20^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 95 | 35 |
| 75 feet | $16^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 80 | 30 |
|  | $18^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 95 | 35 |
|  | $20^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 115 | 40 |

*Referenced to existing ground surface at the time of field investigation
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TABLE 9 - EAST

## ALlowable pile CAPACITIES - DRILLED DISPLACEMENT PILING

NO DOWNDRAG REDUCTION
(FACTOR OF SAFETY = 2.0)

| Expected Pile <br> Penetration <br> Below Existing <br> Grade* | Pile Diam/Size and Type <br> (inches) | Allowable Compression <br> Capacity** <br> (tons) | Allowable <br> Tension Capacity <br> (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 to 75 feet | $14^{\prime \prime}$ Drilled Displacement | 100 | 45 |
|  | $16^{\prime \prime}$ Drilled Displacement | 120 | 50 |

*Referenced to existing ground surface at the time of field investigation
TABLE 10 -EAST
allowable pile CAPACíties - AUGER-CAST PILING
REDUCED FOR DOWNDRAG
(FACTOR OF SAFETY = 2.0)

| Expected Pile <br> Penetration <br> Below Existing <br> Grade* | Pile Diam/Size and Type <br> (inches) | Allowable Compression <br> Capacity** <br> (tons) | Allowable <br> Tension Capacity <br> (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 feet | 16" Augercast | 55 | 25 |
|  | 18" Augercast | 65 | 30 |
|  | $20^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 75 | 35 |
| 75 feet | $16^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 65 | 30 |
|  | $18^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 80 | 35 |
|  | $20^{\prime \prime}$ Augercast | 95 | 40 |

*Referenced to existing ground surface at the time of field investigation
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| ALI | TABL <br> WWABLE PILE CAPACITIES <br> REDUCED <br> (FACTOR | 11 - EAST <br> - DRILLED DISPLACEMEN <br> R DOWNDRAG <br> SAFETY = 2.0) | ILING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected Pile <br> Penetration <br> Below Existing Grade* | Pile Diam/Size and Type (inches) | Allowable Compression Capacity** (tons) | Allowable <br> Tension Capacity (tons) |
| 65 to 75 feet | 14" Drilled Displacement | 80 | 45 |
|  | 16" Drilled Displacement | 100 | 50 |

*Referenced to existing ground surface at the time of field investigation

SES should be consulted as the Geotechnical Engineer of Record to assist the design team with further evaluation of pile type, design capacity and corresponding pile length based on loading requirements and optimum pile cap configurations. SES should also be consulted to review the Pile Load Test Plan, Pile Load Test Results, and Production Pile Installation Criteria.

### 9.2 Auger-Cast and Augered Displacement Pile Installation Considerations

The dense sand bearing strata vary in strength and depth across the site; therefore, considerations should be taken to account for difficult drilling that may occur at varying elevations. Drilled displacement piles may experience hard drilling in intermittent dense sand strata that may be encountered at some locations above the intended bearing strata beginning at approximately 55 to 75 feet below ground surface. Pile penetration/refusal depth may vary by several feet across this building area. Supplemental CPT soundings are recommended in areas near SCPT-PG-10 and PG-1 to help delineate required pile penetration depths in these areas. Contingency should be set up in the contract documents to account for pile length variation and installation method modification that may be required by the contractor to advance piles to the recommended tip elevation/pile penetration or as needed to develop the intended design capacity.

The equipment, experience, and installation technique on the part of the contractor are crucial to successful pile performance of augercast piles and drilled displacement piles. Careful monitoring and
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recording of the pile installation should be performed by an experienced technician to help identify possible installation problems.

Closely spaced piles will become increasingly more difficult to install to the desired tip elevation if a proper installation pattern is not established. It may be necessary to start installation towards the center of the pile cap and work outwards. Piles should not be installed within 3 pile diameters of newly placed piling until the grout has cured for at least 24 hours or within 6 pile diameters until the grout has cured for at least 12 hours.

### 9.3 Pile Response to Lateral Loading

Pile response to assumed shear forces applied to the pile top were evaluated using LPILE $^{\circledR}$ version 22 software. LPILE software employs $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{y}$ analysis to determine deflections at the pile top under specific loading conditions. Parameters used in the analysis have been correlated from empirical data using standard penetration resistance " N " values (correlated with accepted geotechnical references), measured CPT tip and side resistances and our knowledge of and experience with similar soil conditions.

Based on our correspondence with the project design team, we have evaluated a 14-inch diameter Drilled Displacement pile under various loading scenarios. Shear forces applied to the pile top were varied based on pile response to produce deflections ranging from approximately 0.25 to 0.75 inch. The P-Y curves were factored for group effects for piles in groups using a p-multiplier of 0.8 for the front row piles and a multiplier of 0.4 for the second-row piles.

Piles were modeled using both fixed and pinned head conditions with lateral loads applied at the pile top at an average depth of 4 feet below existing grade. ULTIMATE Lateral Deflection, Moment and Shear vs. Depth plots are attached in Appendix 4. Piles were modeled with no axial load or bending moment applied to the top of the pile. It should be considered that axial uplift loads generally reduce the lateral capacity from that indicated by this analysis, while axial compressive loads increase the lateral capacity.

An appropriate Factor of Safety should be applied by the designer depending on the sensitivity of the design to deflection or moment capacity. Evaluation of the structural capacity of the piles to withstand shear forces and bending moments generated by lateral loading is beyond the scope of this investigation and should be determined by the structural design engineer of record.
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Assumed pile reinforcement configurations, concrete strength, and lateral loads resulting in approximately $0.25,0.50$ and 0.75 -inch deflection for piles in first row and second row configurations are provided in the following table. Deflection, moment, and shear curves along the length of the pile corresponding to the load scenarios listed below are attached in Appendix 4.

TABLE 12
DRILLED DISPLACEMENT CONCRETE PILE LATERAL LOAD CASE SUMMARY

| Pile Type and Size | Loading Condition | Assumed Reinforcement Configuration | L-Pile ${ }^{\circledR}$ Loading Case Designation | Applied Shear force |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Row 1 | Row 2 |
| 14-inch Drilled Displacement Concrete Pile (5,000 psi grout) | Fixed | 20 ft cage with 6 - \#6 rebar | Loading Case 1 | 12.2 kips | 7.9 kips |
|  |  |  | Loading Case 2 | 17.2 kips | 11.1 kips |
|  |  |  | Loading Case 3 | 20.6 kips | 13.7 kips |
| 14-inch Drilled Displacement Concrete Pile (5,000 psi grout) | Pinned | 20 ft cage with 6 - \#6 rebar | Loading Case 1 | 5.9 kips | 4.2 kips |
|  |  |  | Loading Case 2 | 8.1 kips | 5.4 kips |
|  |  |  | Loading Case 3 | 10.2 kips | 6.6 kips |

### 9.4 Individual Pile Settlement and Group Efficiency

We recommend installing piles at a minimum center to center spacing of 3 pile diameters. A reduction in capacity due to group effects for properly spaced piles at the recommended pile penetration depths will not be required.

Detailed structural loading information and pile cap configurations were not available at this time. Estimated settlement of individual piles properly installed to the recommended depth are expected to be less than 0.5 inch at service load. Piles installed in groups (up to 8 to 10 piles per pile group) at the recommended minimum center-to-center spacing of 3 pile diameters at the recommended pile penetration depths are not expected to undergo additional settlement at service load due to group
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effects. SES should be consulted to review plans and design details and to evaluate larger pile groups once pile type, pile loading, and pile cap configurations have been established.

### 9.5 Pile Settlement and Drag Force Considerations

Our evaluation of the effects of fill induced settlement on pile foundations and resulting drag forces at this site have been based on methods outlined in "Neutral Plane Method for Drag Force of Deep Foundations" (Siegel, et.al, 2014) and in the Federal Highway Administration Publication No. FHWA-NHI-16-009. These references explain that the direction in which side resistance acts on a deep foundation depends on the relative movement between the deep foundation and the adjacent soil. When the pile moves downward relative to the soil, then the side resistance is positive and acts upward (pile resistance). Conversely, when the soil moves downward relative to the deep foundation, the side resistance is negative and acts downward (down-drag). The side resistance distribution and direction of relative pile movement with respect to surrounding soil is a function of the soil strength and stiffness, the applied pile top load, and whether the top load is sustained, transient, or a combination of sustained and transient loads. (Siegal).

The accumulation of negative shaft resistance with depth produces a drag force on the pile. The maximum drag force and the maximum axial compression stress in the pile occur at the depth along the pile equal to the depth of the "Neutral Plane". The depth of the Neutral Plane is defined as the depth along a pile where the sum of the permanent structural load (sustained dead and live load) plus the negative shaft resistance on the pile (down-drag) is equal to the positive shaft resistance plus the mobilized toe resistance.

Below the level of the neutral plane at the Geotechnical Service Limit State, there is no movement of the soil relative to the pile and any ground settlement below the neutral plane is equal to the vertical movement of the pile. At the Geotechnical Strength Limit State, the entire pile is moving downward relative to the soil and therefore negative skin friction is not present. This is premise of the Neutral Plane Method evaluating down-drag as a Geotechnical Service Limit State or settlement consideration rather than considering the drag force as an additional load that must be supported by the pile in the Geotechnical Strength Limit State evaluation.
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### 9.6 Post Construction Hardscape Settlement Potential

A critical issue to consider for this project site will be differential settlements between pile supported and non-pile supported, grade supported hardscape, pavements, and utility elements where fill heights exceed approximately 18 inches above existing grade. Pavements and hardscape constructed over areas of fill in excess of approximately 18 inches above the existing site elevation have the potential to settle differentially with respect to pile supported building foundations and floor slabs. Where differential settlement between the building foundations and adjacent pavements or slabs is a concern, consideration should be given to pile supporting critical slabs, aprons, sidewalks, and landings immediately adjacent to pile supported buildings. Hinging concrete slabs, aprons, pavement, sidewalks, and other hardscape at the pile-supported to grade-supported transition of critical entrance/exits would help limit the formation of trip hazards/drops caused by differential settlement between pile supported and grade supported elements around the buildings. All utility lines in the building area should be hung from the slab using hangers and connections that meet applicable Building Codes. Connections should be flexible and capable of withstanding fill-induced differential settlement.

Installation of utilities, adjacent pavements and hardscape of the facility should generally be delayed after fill placement for as long as practical in the construction schedule to allow for as much settlement as possible to occur prior to their construction. A maintenance schedule should include a contingency for leveling critical areas of pavement and hardscape that settle differentially with respect to the pile supported building and floor slabs.

### 9.7 Pile Load Test Program

We suggest installing a minimum of one (1) test pile within the east portion of the building area and one (1) test pile in the west portion of the building area for Static Load Testing for each pile size/loading configuration. The static compressive load test should be conducted as described in ASTM Specification D1143 to at least 3 times the design load or to failure.

If design tension loads exceed 60 percent of the recommended allowable tension capacity, plans should be made to install an additional tension test pile for Static Tension Load testing at each planned compression test pile location. Tension testing of a tested compression pile is not recommended. Static tension load testing should be conducted as described in ASTM Specification D3689 to at least 2 times the design load. Piling reinforcement for the tension test pile should be cast
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to allow for connection to a full-length center bar during testing. Since the purpose of the tension load test is to assess the geotechnical capacity of the soil-pile interaction (not the structural capacity of the pile), the tension test pile reinforcement should be over-designed to minimize elongation of the pile during the test. Elongation of the test pile and center bar during tension testing often causes structural failure of the pile grout near the bottom of the reinforcement cage, resulting in excessive deflection during the test that is not representative of the geotechnical performance of the pile in tension. The test pile reinforcement, connection systems and reaction frame should be designed for the loadings specific to this project by a licensed professional structural engineer.

Alternately, in lieu of a separate static tension load test, tension capacity could be assessed by instrumenting the compression test pile with vibrating wire strain gauges that would be used to measure and record the capacity distribution along the length of the pile. The strain gauge data would be supplemented by monitoring deflection of at least two reaction piles during the compression load test. SES will be available to discuss with the design team as the design progresses.

If pile response to lateral loading is a controlling aspect of the foundation design and lateral load testing is determined to be necessary by the project Structural Engineer, static lateral load testing may be performed on either the compression or the tension pile to at least twice the design load in accordance with ASTM D3966.

The test pile(s) should be located within the building/structure footprint to obtain representative data, but should be positioned within the structure such that it is not incorporated into the foundation system and does not interfere with construction of foundations, utilities, infrastructure, etc. Upon completion of the test pile program, the test piles should be cut off at a level such that it will not affect future construction.

All test sections, equipment and installation procedures should be the same as those to be used during production pile installation. Pile load test results would be used to verify the placement procedures and that the pile section produces the desired design capacity. Since adjustments of the pile lengths or installation procedures may be made based on the test pile installation and load test results, we recommend the test pile program and production pile installation be performed under the direct supervision of the SES project geotechnical engineer of record. SES should be consulted to collaborate with the design team to establish detailed Pile Load Test Program recommendations once site, civil, and structural plans have been developed.
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### 9.8 Thermal Integrity Profiling (TIP) for Auger-Cast Piling

We recommend that installation of all Auger-Cast test piles (and $2 \%$ of all production auger cast piling on this project) be monitored using Thermal Integrity Profiling (TIP) technology in general accordance with ASTM D7949 - Standard Test Methods for Thermal Integrity Profiling of Concrete Deep Foundations. The TIP system, manufactured by Pile Dynamics, Inc. (PDI) in association with Foundation and Geotechnical Engineering, LLC (FGE), uses instrumented Thermal Wire cables and Thermal Acquisition Ports (TAPs) to measure concrete temperatures during curing. The Thermal Wire ${ }^{\circ}$ cables have temperature sensors spaced every 12 -inches along the ordered cable length and are cast into the concrete along the pile/shaft length. The battery powered Thermal Acquisition Ports automatically measure temperature at each sensor at specified time intervals (typically every 15 minutes) allowing the concrete curing process to be monitored. During the curing process, heat generated during cement hydration is recorded and used to create a profile of temperature versus depth.

Analysis of the temperature measurements can then be used to evaluate concrete quality and cover at each cross section along the pile/shaft length. After the peak temperature is achieved (approximately 10 hours after placement of the concrete), the TAP box(es) are disconnected from the Thermal Wires ${ }^{\circ}$ and connected to the TIP Processing Unit. Data is downloaded and saved to the unit's hard drive for further review, data adjustment, analysis and output. Graphical results of the collected thermal data are presented as an estimate of the vertical pile profile relative to the theoretical pile diameter. The profile will indicate changes in pile diameter or material quality within the grout column.

### 10.0 LATERAL EARTH PRESSURES

Presented in the following table are recommended design values of Equivalent Fluid Pressure and soilfoundation Friction Coefficients for calculation of resistance to lateral loadings. These values have been generalized to be representative of improved subgrade conditions and imported Select Structural Fill. Imported Select Structural Fill should consist of a sandy material with less than about 30 percent of the soil particles (by weight) passing the No. 200 mesh sieve, less than 80 percent passing the No. 40 sieve, and a Liquid Limit less than 25 . Fill material should be compacted in 12 -inch (maximum) lifts to at least 95 percent of the soil's Modified Proctor maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D 1557. In place
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density tests should be made at frequent intervals to measure the effectiveness of the compaction operations.

Empirical correlation and data obtained from the soil borings and CPT soundings have been used to estimate active, passive, at-rest earth pressure coefficients and equivalent fluid densities presented in the following table for select structural fill. These parameters have been developed using correlation of laboratory test results with accepted geotechnical references and our general knowledge of and experience with similar soil conditions.

This information may be used for lateral resistance calculations for small shallow retaining structures and foundation walls. Foundation elements extending more than approximately 6 feet above original site elevation should be brought to our attention and evaluated on a case-by-case structure specific basis. The designers should exercise sound engineering judgment when using these parameters for design and should apply an appropriate Factor of Safety.

Soil Unit Weight values and Equivalent Fluid Density values have been presented in terms of Total Soil Unit Weight. The Total Soil Unit Weight Scenario is applicable to foundation elements anticipated to be constructed several feet above groundwater levels where in-situ and fill soils are expected to be near their natural moist unit weight. These parameters do not include hydrostatic pressures. Positive grading and adequate drainage are assumed to be installed to prevent buildup of hydrostatic pressure that could act differentially on shallow retaining structures, sumps, etc. If failsafe positive drainage provisions are not provided behind retaining walls/subsurface walls, then hydrostatic pressure should be included in the design loadings in addition to the lateral earth pressures.

At-rest earth pressures should be used for foundation walls that will be restrained from deflecting by adjacent floor slabs or structures. Active and Passive pressures should be used in situations where shallow walls will not be restrained and will be allowed to deflect.
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## TABLE 13

## GENERALIZED EARTH PRESSURE COEFFICIENTS AND EQUIVALENT FLUID PRESSURES

Total Moist Soil Unit Weight Scenario (Above Groundwater level)

| Soil | Earth <br> Pressure <br> Condition | Total Moist Unit Weight (pcf) | Equivalent <br> Fluid <br> Density (pcf) | Internal <br> Angle of <br> Friction <br> $\phi$ (deg) | ```Cohesion c (psf)``` | Lateral <br> Earth <br> Pressure <br> Coefficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imported Select Structural Fill | Active ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | $120$ | 40 | 30 | -- | 0.33 |
|  | Passive ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) |  | 350 | 30 | -- | 3.0 |
|  | At Rest (ko) |  | 60 | 30 | -- | 0.50 |

* NOT representative of in-situ soft/loose silty and clayey soils that will be over-excavated and replaced as required to create stable construction surfaces.


### 11.0 GENERAL COMMENTS AND LIMITATIONS

While the CPT soundings and soil borings are representative of subsurface conditions at their respective locations and for their respective vertical reaches, local variations characteristic of the subsurface materials of the region are anticipated and may be encountered. The delineation between soil types shown on the logs is approximate and the description represents our interpretation of subsurface conditions at the designated test locations and on the particular date explored.

This report has been prepared in order to aid in the evaluation of this project and to assist the engineers in the project planning and structural design. At the time of writing, changes were still being considered to foundations, site grading, and other aspects of the project that could have a significant impact on the applicability or relevance of the recommendations provided in this report. SESI should be consulted as the design process continues to ensure that the recommendations provided in this report are still applicable, and that they are being properly interpreted.

This report is intended for use with regard to the specific project discussed herein as we understand it at this time, and any substantial changes in the project, loads, locations, or assumed grades should be brought to our attention so that we may determine how such changes may affect our conclusions and
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recommendations. We would appreciate the opportunity to review the plans and specifications for construction to ensure that our conclusions and recommendations are interpreted correctly.

Professional judgments on design alternatives and criteria are presented in this report. These are based partly on our evaluations of technical information gathered, partly on our understanding of the characteristics of the project being planned, and partly on our general experience with subsurface conditions in the area. We do not guarantee performance of the project in any respect, only that our engineering work and judgments rendered meet the standard of care of our profession.

The Geotechnical Engineer of Record should be retained by the Owner in the construction phase of the project so they can observe subsurface conditions revealed during construction, confirm that design assumptions are still applicable or provide revised recommendations based on conditions encountered during construction, and to help ensure that our recommendations are properly interpreted. We recommend that Southern Earth Sciences, Inc. be retained to perform observation and field-testing services during the site preparation and foundation construction.

This report is exclusively for the use and benefit of the addressee(s) identified on the first page of this report and is not for the use or benefit of, nor may it be relied upon by any other person or entity. The contents of this report may not be quoted in whole or in part or distributed to any person or entity other than the addressee(s) hereof without, in each case, advanced written consent.
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## APPENDIX 1

## Test Location Plans

## Soil Profile
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## APPENDIX 2

## CPT Sounding Logs

Soil Boring Logs
Shear Wave Velocity vs Depth


## Southern Earth Sciences

Operator: Brandon Green
Sounding: PG-1
Cone Used: DPG1210
GPS Data: N30.63964 W88.07929

CPT Date/Time: 8/31/2023 12:42:52 PM
Location: MAA PARKING GARAGE
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 3.2-ft.

0

10
Friction Ratio
Fs/Qt (\%)

SPT N*
$500 \quad 0$


## Southern Earth Sciences

| Operator: Brandon Green | CPT Date/Time: 9/1/2023 8:44:01 AM |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sounding: PG-2 | Location: MAA PARKING GARAGE |
| Cone Used: DPG1210 | Job Number: M23-442 |
| GPS Data: N30.63973 W88.07984 | Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 3.5-ft. |



Maximum Depth $=100.23$ feet
Depth Increment $=0.164$ feet

- 4 silty clay to clay

5 clayey silt to silty clay
6 sandy silt to clayey silt

## Southern Earth Sciences

Operator: Brandon Green
Sounding: PG-3
Cone Used: DPG1210
GPS Data: N30.63947 W88.08038

CPT Date/Time: 8/31/2023 6:46:07 AM
Location: MAA PARKING GARAGE
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 3.9-ft.

-
-

20


0

10
0 Qt TSF

10

450

| Local Friction | Pore Pressure |
| :--- | :---: |
| Fs TSF | Pw PSI |


| Friction Ratio | Soil Behavior Type* | SPT N* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fs/Qt (\%) | Zone: UBC-1983 | 60\% Hammer |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |



|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\mid$ | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\{$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\{$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |



5 0

Maximum Depth $=100.23$ feet





## Southern Earth Sciences

| Friction Ratio | Soil Behavior Type* | SPT N $^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fs/Qt (\%) | Zone: UBC-1983 | $60 \%$ Hammer |



Operator: Brandon Green
Sounding: PG-4
Cone Used: DPG1210
GPS Data: N30.63973 W88.08086

CPT Date/Time: 8/30/2023 12:30:40 PM
Location: MAA PARKING GARAGE
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 1.7-ft.

## Southern Earth Sciences

Operator: Brandon Green
Sounding: PG-5
Cone Used: DPG1210
GPS Data: N30.64003 W88.08081

CPT Date/Time: 8/30/2023 1:26:28 PM
Location: MAA PARKING GARAGE
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 1.8-ft.


## Southern Earth Sciences

Operator: Brandon Green
Sounding: PG-6
Cone Used: DPG1210
GPS Data: N30.64025 W88.08084

CPT Date/Time: 8/30/2023 2:30:13 PM
Location: MAA PARKING GARAGE
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 1.4-ft.


BORING NO.: PG-7
PROJECT: MAA - PARKING GARAGE
PROJECT NO.: M23-442
METHOD: FLIGHT/MUD DRILLING
PROJECT LOCATION: MOBILE, AL
BORING LOCATION: SEE TEST LOCATION PLAN
DATE DRILLED: 08/30/23
BORING ELEVATION: 19 ft
DATE COMPLETED: 08/30/23
WATER LEVEL: 6 ft
GEOL / ENGR: E. REYES
WATER LEVEL DATE: 08/30/23
DRILLER: P. BYRD


BORING NO.: PG-7
PROJECT: MAA - PARKING GARAGE
PROJECT NO.: M23-442
METHOD: FLIGHT/MUD DRILLING
PROJECT LOCATION: MOBILE, AL
BORING LOCATION: SEE TEST LOCATION PLAN
BORING ELEVATION: 19 ft
DATE COMPLETED: 08/30/23
WATER LEVEL: 6 ft
GEOL / ENGR: E. REYES
WATER LEVEL DATE: 08/30/23
DRILLER: P. BYRD


Remarks: N30.64016 W88.08054
Elevation estimated from Provided Topo Drawing
Refusal at 95 Feet due to Gravel caving in

SOUTHERN
EARTH SCIENCES

## Southern Earth Sciences

| Operator: Brandon Green | CPT Date/Time: 8/30/2023 3:30:42 PM |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sounding: PG-8 | Location: MAA PARKING GARAGE |
| Cone Used: DPG1210 | Job Number: M23-442 |
| GPS Data: N30.63988 W88.08054 | Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 1.2-ft. |



## Southern Earth Sciences

Operator: Brandon Green
Sounding: PG-9
Cone Used: DPG1210
GPS Data: N30.63996 W88.08055

CPT Date/Time: 8/31/2023 7:47:43 AM
Location: MAA PARKING GARAGE
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 3.8-ft.

| Friction Ratio | Soil Behavior Type* | SPT N* |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Fs/Qt (\%) | Zone: UBC-1983 | $60 \%$ Hammer |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Local Friction | Pore Pressure |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fs TSF | ${ }_{0}$ Pw PSI |  |



Maximum Depth $=150.26$ feet

- 0

120





Depth Increment $=0.164$ feet
sand
12 sand to clayey sand (*)

## Southern Earth Sciences

Operator: Brandon Green
Sounding: SCPT-PG10
Cone Used: DPG1210
GPS Data: N30.64037 W88.08026

CPT Date/Time: 8/31/2023 9:17:10 AM
Location: MAA PARKING GARAGE
Job Number: M23-442
Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 3.9-ft.

Tip Resistance

0

10

20

| Tip Resistance | Local Friction | Pore Pressure |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Qt TSF | Fs TSF |  | Pw PSI |  |
|  | 600 | 0 | -20 |  |


| Friction Ratio | Soil Behavior Type* $^{2}$ |  | SPT N* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Fs/Qt (\%) | 3 | Zone: UBC-1983 | 60\% Hammer |  |
| 0 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 |




$600 \quad 0$
120

Maximum Depth $=100.23$ feet
Depth Increment $=0.164$ feet

- 4 silty clay to clay

5 clayey silt to silty clay
6 sandy silt to clayey silt
sand to silty sand
sand

## SOUNDING

SOUNDING
CUSTOMER: Southern Earth Sciences
OPERATOR: Brandon Green
CONE ID: DPG1210
OCATION: MAA PARKING GARAGE
Seismic Velocity
(ft/s)
0

COMMENT
JOB NUMBER: M23-442
HOLE NUMBER: SCPT-PG10
TEST DATE: 8/31/2023 9:17:10 AM COMMENT: N30.64037 W88.08026 COMMENT:

LOCATION: MAA PARKING GARAGE Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 3.9-ft


## Southern Earth Sciences

| Operator: Brandon Green | CPT Date/Time: 9/1/2023 9:37:00 AM |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sounding: PG-11 | Location: MAA PARKING GARAGE |
| Cone Used: DPG1210 | Job Number: M23-442 |
|  | GPS Data: N30.64002 W88.07973 |



## Southern Earth Sciences

| Operator: Brandon Green | CPT Date/Time: 9/1/2023 7:22:56 AM |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sounding: PG-12 | Location: MAA PARKING GARAGE |
| Cone Used: DPG1210 | Job Number: M23-442 |
| GPS Data: N30.64004 W88.07942 | Groundwater: Collapsed Dry At 4.1-ft. |
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## APPENDIX 3

## Laboratory Test Data











Client: VOLKERT, INC.
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: PG-7 Depth: 28.0'-30.0' Sample Number: T-2
Project No.: M23-442



Client: VOLKERT, INC.
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: PG-7 Depth: 38.0'-40.0' Sample Number: T-3
Project No.: M23-442


## Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: M23-442
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: PG-7 Depth: 28.0'-30.0' Sample Number: T-2


Load No. $=1$
Load= 0.25 tsf
$D_{0}=0.0016$
$D_{50}=0.0046$
$D_{100}=0.0076$
$\mathrm{T}_{50}=0.82 \mathrm{~min}$.

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.332 \mathrm{ft} .2 /$ day |

$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.001$


Load No.= 2
Load= 0.50 tsf

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0} & =0.0090 \\
D_{50} & =0.0112 \\
D_{100} & =0.0133 \\
T_{50} & =0.66 \mathrm{~min} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{v} @ T_{50} \\
& 0.401 \mathrm{ft} .2 / \text { day }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.002
$$

Figure

## Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: M23-442
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: PG-7 Depth: 28.0'-30.0' Sample Number: T-2


Load No. $=3$
Load= 1.00 tsf
$\mathrm{D}_{0}=0.0158$
$D_{50}=0.0193$
$D_{100}=0.0228$
$\mathrm{T}_{50}=0.74 \mathrm{~min}$.

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.349 \mathrm{ft}$. 2/day |

$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.004$


Load No.= 5
Load= 4.00 tsf

$$
D_{0}=0.0730
$$

$$
D_{50}=0.0974
$$

$$
D_{100}=0.1219
$$

$$
T_{50}=2.74 \mathrm{~min}
$$

$C_{v} @ T_{50}$
$0.075 \mathrm{ft}^{2} /$ day
$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.022$

## Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: M23-442
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: PG-7 Depth: 28.0'-30.0' Sample Number: T-2


Load No. $=6$
Load=8.00 tsf
$D_{0}=0.1382$
$D_{50}=0.1630$
$D_{100}=0.1878$
$\mathrm{T}_{50}=8.13 \mathrm{~min}$.

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.020 \mathrm{ft} .2 /$ day |

$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.022$


Load No.= 7
Load= 4.00 tsf

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0} & =0.1951 \\
D_{50} & =0.1930 \\
D_{100} & =0.1909 \\
T_{50} & =1.17 \mathrm{~min} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$C_{v} @ T_{50}$
$0.128 \mathrm{ft} .2 /$ day

Figure

## Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: M23-442
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: PG-7 Depth: 28.0'-30.0' Sample Number: T-2


Load No.= 8
Load= 1.00 tsf

$$
D_{0}=0.1892
$$

$$
D_{50}=0.1808
$$

$$
D_{100}=0.1725
$$

$$
T_{50}=6.41 \mathrm{~min} .
$$

$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$
0.025 ft . 2 /day


## Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: M23-442
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: PG-7 Depth: 38.0'-40.0' Sample Number: T-3


Load No. $=1$
Load= 0.25 tsf
$D_{0}=0.0011$
$D_{50}=0.0026$
$D_{100}=0.0041$
$\mathrm{T}_{50}=0.73 \mathrm{~min}$.

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.373 \mathrm{ft} .2 /$ day |

$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.002$


Load No.= 2
Load= 0.50 tsf

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0} & =0.0053 \\
D_{50} & =0.0068 \\
D_{100} & =0.0083 \\
T_{50} & =1.42 \mathrm{~min} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50} \\
& 0.188 \mathrm{ft} .2 / \mathrm{day}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.002
$$

Figure

## Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: M23-442
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: PG-7 Depth: 38.0'-40.0' Sample Number: T-3


Load No. $=3$
Load= 1.00 tsf
$\mathrm{D}_{0}=0.0096$
$D_{50}=0.0117$
$\mathrm{D}_{100}=0.0138$
$\mathrm{T}_{50}=0.84 \mathrm{~min}$.

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.314 \mathrm{ft}$.$2 / day$ |

$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.004$


Load No.= 4
Load= 2.00 tsf
$D_{0}=0.0166$
$D_{50}=0.0208$
$D_{100}=0.0250$
$\mathrm{T}_{50}=1.78 \mathrm{~min}$.
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$
$0.144 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{I}^{2 / \text { day }}$
$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.012$

Figure

## Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: M23-442
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: PG-7 Depth: 38.0'-40.0' Sample Number: T-3


Load No. $=5$
Load= 4.00 tsf
$D_{0}=0.0337$
$D_{50}=0.0723$
$D_{100}=0.1109$
$\mathrm{T}_{50}=29.37 \mathrm{~min}$.

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.007 \mathrm{ft} .2 /$ day |

$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.088$


Load No.= 6
Load= 8.00 tsf

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0} & =0.1230 \\
D_{50} & =0.1685 \\
D_{100} & =0.2140 \\
T_{50} & =23.74 \mathrm{~min}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50} \\
& 0.007 \mathrm{ft} .{ }^{2} / \text { day }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=0.055
$$

Figure

## Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: M23-442
Project: MAA PARKING GARAGE \& TERMINAL BUILDING
Source of Sample: PG-7 Depth: 38.0'-40.0' Sample Number: T-3


Load No.= 7
Load= 4.00 tsf

$$
D_{0}=0.2259
$$

$$
D_{50}=0.2209
$$

$$
D_{100}=0.2159
$$

$\mathrm{T}_{50}=7.36 \mathrm{~min}$.

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $0.018 \mathrm{ft}$. 2/day |

Load No. $=8$
Load= 1.00 tsf

$$
D_{0}=0.2136
$$

$D_{50}=0.1971$
$D_{100}=0.1805$
$\mathrm{T}_{50}=24.04 \mathrm{~min}$.

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}} @ \mathrm{~T}_{50}$ |
| :--- |
| $0.006 \mathrm{ft} .2 /$ day |



Figure

Kris Shantazio
Southern Earth Sciences, Inc.
Rangeline Rd.
Mobile, AL 36619


RE: Project: MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747

Dear Kris Shantazio:
Enclosed are the analytical results for sample(s) received by the laboratory on September 27, 2023. The results relate only to the samples included in this report.

The test results provided in this final report were generated by each of the following laboratories within the Pace Network:

- Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,
MKBrenner
Mary Kathryn Brenner marykathryn.brenner@pacelabs.com 251-344-9106
Project Manager
Enclosures
cc: Jennifer Allen, Southern Earth Sciences, Inc.


## CERTIFICATIONS

Project:
MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747

## Pace Analytical Services New Orleans

Florida Department of Health (NELAC): E87595
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency: 2000662023-7
Kansas Department of Health and Environment (NELAC):
E-10266
Louisiana Dept. of Environmental Quality (NELAC/LELAP):
02006

Texas Commission on Env. Quality (NELAC):
T104704405-23-18
U.S. Dept. of Agriculture Foreign Soil Import: 525-23-117-

89728


## SAMPLE SUMMARY

Project: MAA/M23-442 08/30/23

Pace Project No.: 20290747

| Lab ID | Sample ID | Matrix | Date Collected | Date Received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20290747001 | PG-7, S-2 | Solid | 08/30/23 08:00 | 09/27/23 09:11 |
| 20290747003 | PG-7, S-4 | Solid | 08/30/23 08:00 | 09/27/23 09:11 |
| 20290747004 | PG-7, S-5 | Solid | 08/30/23 08:00 | 09/27/23 09:11 |
| 20290747005 | T-7, S-2 | Solid | 08/30/23 08:00 | 09/27/23 09:11 |
| 20290747006 | T-7, S-3 | Solid | 08/30/23 08:00 | 09/27/23 09:11 |
| 20290747007 | 7, S-4 | Solid | 08/30/23 08:00 | 09/27/23 09:11 |
| 20290747008 | T-7, S-5 | Solid | 08/30/23 08:00 | 09/27/23 09:11 |

SAMPLE ANALYTE COUNT
Project: MAA/M23-442 08/30/23

Pace Project No.: 20290747

| Lab ID | Sample ID | Method | Analysts | Analytes Reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20290747001 | PG-7, S-2 | EPA 9045 | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | Moisture | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9038 | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9251 | MHM | 1 |
| 20290747003 | PG-7, S-4 | EPA 9045 | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | Moisture | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9038 | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9251 | MHM | 1 |
| 20290747004 | PG-7, S-5 | EPA 9045 | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | Moisture | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9038 | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9251 | MHM | 1 |
| 20290747005 | T-7, S-2 | EPA 9045 | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | Moisture | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9038 | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9251 | MHM | 1 |
| 20290747006 | T-7, S-3 | EPA 9045 | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | Moisture | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | SKN | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9038 | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9251 | MHM | 1 |
| 20290747007 | T-7, S-4 | EPA 9045 | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | Moisture | GGG1 | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9038 | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9251 | MHM | - 1 |
| 20290747008 | T-7, S-5 | EPA 9045 | GGG1 |  |
|  |  | Moisture | GGG1 |  |
|  |  | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | MHM |  |
|  |  | EPA 9038 | MHM | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 9251 | MHM | 1 |

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

| Project: | MAA/M23-442 08/30/23 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pace Project No.: | 20290747 |

Sample: PG-7, S-2 Lab ID: 20290747001 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.

| Parameters | Results | Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9045 pH Soil | Analytical Method: EPA 9045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH at 25 Degrees C |  | Std. Units | 0.010 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 10:38 |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | Analytical Method: Moisture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | 29 | \% | 0.50 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 07:55 |  | N2 |
| Resistivity | Analytical Method: EPA 120.1 Resistivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resistivity | 532 | ohms-cm | 0.50 | 1 |  | 10/03/23 16:53 |  | H3 |
| 9038 Sulfate, Turbidimetric | Analytical Method: EPA 9038 Preparation Method: EPA 9038 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate |  | mg/kg | - 65.4 | 1 | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 12:08 | 14808-79-8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2, \\ & \mathrm{H} 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9251 Chloride | Analytical Method: EPA 9251 Preparation Method: EPA 9251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride |  | mg/kg | - 13.1 |  | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 11:20 | 16887-00-6 | H1, H3 |

Sample: PG-7, S-4 Lab ID: 20290747003 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.


## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: MAA/M23-442 08/30/23

Pace Project No.: 20290747
Sample: PG-7, S-4 Lab ID: 20290747003 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.
Parameters

9251 Chloride $\frac{\text { Results }}{$|  Analytical Method: EPA 9251 Preparation Method: EPA  9251 |
| :--- |
|  Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans  |}

$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Chloride } & \text { ND } \quad \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} & 12.7 & 1 & \text { 09/29/23 16:04 } & 10 / 02 / 23 & 11: 20 & 16887-00-6 & H 1, H 3\end{array}$

Sample: PG-7, S-5 Lab ID: 20290747004 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.

| Parameters | Results | Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9045 pH Soil | Analytical Method: EPA 9045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH at 25 Degrees C | 5.2 | Std. Units | 0.010 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 10:49 |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | Analytical Method: Moisture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | 20.2 | \% | 0.50 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 07:55 |  | N2 |
| Resistivity | Analytical Method: EPA 120.1 Resistivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resistivity | 95500 | ohms-cm | 0.50 |  |  | 10/03/23 15:36 |  | H3 |
| 9038 Sulfate, Turbidimetric | Analytical Method: EPA 9038 Preparation Method: EPA 9038 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate |  | mg/kg | 294 | 5 | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 12:08 | 14808-79-8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} 4, \mathrm{H} 1, \\ & \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{H} 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9251 Chloride | Analytical Method: EPA 9251 Preparation Method: EPA 9251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | ND | mg/kg | 58.8 | 5 | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 11:30 | 16887-00-6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} 3, \mathrm{H} 1, \\ & \mathrm{H} 3 \end{aligned}$ |

Sample: T-7, S-2
Lab ID: 20290747005 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.

| Parameters | Results | Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9045 pH Soil | Analytical Method: EPA 9045 <br> Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH at 25 Degrees C | 5.0 | Std. Units | 0.010 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 10:54 |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | Analytical Method: Moisture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | 15.1 | \% | 0.50 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 07:55 |  |  |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: MAA/M23-442 08/30/23

Pace Project No.: 20290747
Sample: T-7, S-2 Lab ID: 20290747005 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.


Sample: T-7, S-3
Lab ID: 20290747006 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.


## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

| Project: | MAA/M23-442 08/30/23 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pace Project No.: | 20290747 |

Sample: T-7, S-4 Lab ID: 20290747007 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.

| Parameters | Results | Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9045 pH Soil | Analytical Method: EPA 9045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH at 25 Degrees C | 5.3 | Std. Units | 0.010 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 10:50 |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | Analytical Method: Moisture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Moisture | 29.5 | \% | 0.50 | 1 |  | 09/29/23 07:56 |  | N2 |
| Resistivity | Analytical Method: EPA 120.1 Resistivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resistivity | 51800 | ohms-cm | 0.50 | 1 |  | 10/03/23 15:42 |  | H3 |
| 9038 Sulfate, Turbidimetric | Analytical Method: EPA 9038 Preparation Method: EPA 9038 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate |  | mg/kg | - 66.7 | 1 | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 12:15 | 14808-79-8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2, \\ & \mathrm{H} 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9251 Chloride | Analytical Method: EPA 9251 Preparation Method: EPA 9251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride |  | mg/kg | $\square 13.3$ |  | 09/29/23 16:04 | 10/02/23 11:30 | 16887-00-6 | H1, H3 |

Sample: T-7, S-5 Lab ID: 20290747008 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid
Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.


## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: MAA/M23-442 08/30/23

Pace Project No.: 20290747
Sample: T-7, S-5 Lab ID: 20290747008 Collected: 08/30/23 08:00 Received: 09/27/23 09:11 Matrix: Solid Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions.
Parameters

9251 Chloride $\frac{\text { Results }}{$|  Analytical Method: EPA  9251  Preparation Method: EPA  9251 |
| :--- |
|  Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans  |}

Chloride



## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project:
MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747


## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project:
MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747

| QC Batch: | 302398 | Analysis Method: | EPA 9045 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QC Batch Method: | EPA 9045 | Analysis Description: | 9045 pH |
|  |  | Laboratory: | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |



## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project:
MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747

| QC Batch: | 301298 | Analysis Method: | Moisture |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QC Batch Method: | Moisture | Analysis Description: | Dry Weight/Percent Moisture |
|  |  | Laboratory: | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |

Associated Lab Samples: 20290747001, 20290747003, 20290747004, 20290747005, 20290747007, 20290747008

| SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 1442231 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parameter |

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project:
MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747

| QC Batch: | 303229 | Analysis Method: | Moisture |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QC Batch Method: | Moisture | Analysis Description: | Dry Weight/Percent Moisture |
| Laboratory: | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |  |  |


| SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 1450901 <br> Parameter | Units | $\begin{gathered} 20290747006 \\ \text { Result } \end{gathered}$ | Dup Result | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { RPD } \end{aligned}$ | Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent Moisture | \% | 22.8 | 21.0 |  |  |  |

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

## Project:

> MAA/M23-442 08/30/23

Pace Project No.: 20290747


| LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: | 1442615 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parameter |  |



## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project:
MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747

| QC Batch: | 302526 | Analysis Method: | EPA 9038 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QC Batch Method: | EPA 9038 | Analysis Description: | 9038 Sulfate, Turbidimetric |
|  |  | Laboratory: | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |


| Associated Lab Samples: 20290747006 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| METHOD BLANK: 1447768 | Matrix: Solid |





## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

## Project:

MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747




## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project:
MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747

| QC Batch: | 302525 | Analysis Method: | EPA 9251 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QC Batch Method: | EPA 9251 | Analysis Description: | 9251 Chloride |
|  |  | Laboratory: | Pace Analytical Services - New Orleans |



| LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: | 1447765 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parameter |  |




## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,

## QUALIFIERS

## Project:

MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747

## DEFINITIONS

DF - Dilution Factor, if reported, represents the factor applied to the reported data due to dilution of the sample aliquot.
ND - Not Detected at or above adjusted reporting limit.
TNTC - Too Numerous To Count
$J$ - Estimated concentration above the adjusted method detection limit and below the adjusted reporting limit.
MDL - Adjusted Method Detection Limit.
PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit.
RL - Reporting Limit - The lowest concentration value that meets project requirements for quantitative data with known precision and bias for a specific analyte in a specific matrix.

## S - Surrogate

1,2-Diphenylhydrazine decomposes to and cannot be separated from Azobenzene using Method 8270. The result for each analyte is a combined concentration.
Consistent with EPA guidelines, unrounded data are displayed and have been used to calculate \% recovery and RPD values.
LCS(D) - Laboratory Control Sample (Duplicate)
MS(D) - Matrix Spike (Duplicate)
DUP - Sample Duplicate
RPD - Relative Percent Difference
NC - Not Calculable.
SG - Silica Gel - Clean-Up
U - Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
N -Nitrosodiphenylamine decomposes and cannot be separated from Diphenylamine using Method 8270. The result reported for each analyte is a combined concentration.
Reported results are not rounded until the final step prior to reporting. Therefore, calculated parameters that are typically reported as "Total" may vary slightly from the sum of the reported component parameters.

## ANALYTE QUALIFIERS

D3 Sample was diluted due to the presence of high levels of non-target analytes or other matrix interference.
D4 Sample was diluted due to the presence of high levels of target analytes.
H1 Analysis conducted outside the EPA method holding time.
H2 Extraction or preparation conducted outside EPA method holding time.
H3
M
Sample was received or analysis requested beyond the recognized method holding time.
Matrix spike recovery exceeded QC limits. Batch accepted based on laboratory control sample (LCS) recovery.
N2 The lab does not hold NELAC/TNI accreditation for this parameter but other accreditations/certifications may apply. A complete list of accreditations/certifications is available upon request.

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Project: MAA/M23-442 08/30/23
Pace Project No.: 20290747

| Lab ID | Sample ID | QC Batch Method | QC Batch | Analytical Method | Analytical Batch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20290747001 | PG-7, S-2 | EPA 9045 | 301288 |  |  |
| 20290747003 | PG-7, S-4 | EPA 9045 | 301288 |  |  |
| 20290747004 | PG-7, S-5 | EPA 9045 | 301288 |  |  |
| 20290747005 | T-7, S-2 | EPA 9045 | 301288 |  |  |
| 20290747006 | T-7, S-3 | EPA 9045 | 302398 |  |  |
| 20290747007 | T-7, S-4 | EPA 9045 | 301288 |  |  |
| 20290747008 | T-7, S-5 | EPA 9045 | 301288 |  |  |
| 20290747001 | PG-7, S-2 | Moisture | 301298 |  |  |
| 20290747003 | PG-7, S-4 | Moisture | 301298 |  |  |
| 20290747004 | PG-7, S-5 | Moisture | 301298 |  |  |
| 20290747005 | T-7, S-2 | Moisture | 301298 |  |  |
| 20290747006 | T-7, S-3 | Moisture | 303229 |  |  |
| 20290747007 | T-7, S-4 | Moisture | 301298 |  |  |
| 20290747008 | T-7, S-5 | Moisture | 301298 |  |  |
| 20290747001 | PG-7, S-2 | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | 301882 |  |  |
| 20290747003 | PG-7, S-4 | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | 301882 |  |  |
| 20290747004 | PG-7, S-5 | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | 301882 |  |  |
| 20290747005 | T-7, S-2 | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | 301882 |  |  |
| 20290747006 | T-7, S-3 | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | 302601 |  |  |
| 20290747007 | T-7, S-4 | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | 301882 |  |  |
| 20290747008 | T-7, S-5 | EPA 120.1 Resistivity | 301882 |  |  |
| 20290747001 | PG-7, S-2 | EPA 9038 | 301404 | EPA 9038 | 301668 |
| 20290747003 | PG-7, S-4 | EPA 9038 | 301404 | EPA 9038 | 301668 |
| 20290747004 | PG-7, S-5 | EPA 9038 | 301404 | EPA 9038 | 301668 |
| 20290747005 | T-7, S-2 | EPA 9038 | 301404 | EPA 9038 | 301668 |
| 20290747006 | T-7, S-3 | EPA 9038 | 302526 | EPA 9038 | 302557 |
| 20290747007 | T-7, S-4 | EPA 9038 | 301404 | EPA 9038 | 301668 |
| 20290747008 | T-7, S-5 | EPA 9038 | 301404 | EPA 9038 | 301668 |
| 20290747001 | PG-7, S-2 | EPA 9251 | 301405 | EPA 9251 | 301667 |
| 20290747003 | PG-7, S-4 | EPA 9251 | 301405 | EPA 9251 | 301667 |
| 20290747004 | PG-7, S-5 | EPA 9251 | 301405 | EPA 9251 | 301667 |
| 20290747005 | T-7, S-2 | EPA 9251 | 301405 | EPA 9251 | 301667 |
| 20290747006 | T-7, S-3 | EPA 9251 | 302525 | EPA 9251 | 302556 |
| 20290747007 | T-7, S-4 | EPA 9251 | 301405 | EPA 9251 | 301667 |
| 20290747008 | T-7, S-5 | EPA 9251 | 301405 | EPA 9251 | 301667 |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## VOLKERT, INC

Report of Subsurface Investigation and Geotechnical Engineering Evaluation
Mobile International Airport - Proposed Parking Garage
Mobile Aeroplex at Brookley
Mobile, AL
SESI Project No: M23-442
October 25, 2023

## APPENDIX 4

## L-Pile Analysis Results

M23-442 MAA Parking Garage Structure 14 inch Drilled Displacement - Fixed Condition - Row 1 Lateral Pile Deflection (inches)




M23-442 MAA Parking Garage Structure 14 inch Drilled Displacement - Fixed Condition - Row 2 Lateral Pile Deflection (inches)



M23-442 MAA Parking Garage Structure 14 inch Drilled Displacement - Fixed Condition - Row 2 Shear Force (kips)


M23-442 MAA Parking Garage Structure 14 inch Drilled Displacement - Pinned Condition - Row 1 Lateral Pile Deflection (inches)




M23-442 MAA Parking Garage Structure 14 inch Drilled Displacement - Pinned Condition - Row 2 Lateral Pile Deflection (inches)




## VOLKERT, INC

Report of Subsurface Investigation and Geotechnical Engineering Evaluation
Mobile International Airport - Proposed Parking Garage
Mobile Aeroplex at Brookley
Mobile, AL
SESI Project No: M23-442
October 25, 2023

## APPENDIX 5

## Pile Penetration Plan



## VOLKERT, INC

Report of Subsurface Investigation and Geotechnical Engineering Evaluation
Mobile International Airport - Proposed Parking Garage
Mobile Aeroplex at Brookley
Mobile, AL
SESI Project No: M23-442
October 25, 2023

## APPENDIX 6

## Provided Plans





[^0]:    11.0 General Comments and Limitations

    22 -

[^1]:    *Referenced to existing ground surface at the time of field investigation
    ${ }^{* *}$ Up to 88 feet in the area of PG-10. To be verified upon completion of supplemental CPTs.
    ***Capacities reduced to account for down drag

